arXiv:1304.2284v1 [math.OA] 8 Apr 2013

Ordered *-semigroups and a C*-correspondence for a partial isometry

Berndt Brenken

Abstract

Certain *-semigroups are associated with the universal C*-algebra generated by a partial isometry, which is the universal C*-algebra of a particular *-semigroup. A fundamental role for a *-structure on a semigroup is emphasized, and ordered and matricially ordered *-semigroups are introduced, along with their universal C*-algebras. The universal C*-algebra generated by a partial isometry is isomorphic to a relative Cuntz Pimsner C*-algebra of a C*-correspondence over the C*-algebra of a matricially ordered *-semigroup. One may view the C*-algebra of a partial isometry as the crossed product algebra associated with a dynamical system defined by a complete order map modelled by a partial isometry acting on a matricially ordered *-semigroup.

Introduction

There are at least two motivations for this project. One is to emphasize a particular direction in the study of C*-algebras associated with semigroups, illustrating roles for universal C*-algebras, particularly through a consideration of star and order structures on semigroups. The second is the delineation of an underlying algebraic structure, basically via dynamical considerations, for the universal C*-algebra of a partial isometry. This structure intimately involves the order structure of a *-semigroup, so provides some evidence for, and justification of, this direction of inquiry.

A structure for the universal C*-algebra of a partial isometry is that of a Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra associated with a C*-correspondence, so a representation of a C*-algebra as adjointable operators on a Hilbert module. In our context the Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra can be thought of as type of crossed product C*-algebra arising from an action by a completely positive map, here one modelled through a partial isometry, on a particular non-unital C*-subalgebra. The

---

[0] 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L05, 46L08, 20M30; Secondary 06F05, 46L55

C*-algebras involved occur as universal C*-algebras associated with particular families of contractive *-representations of certain *-semigroups. These families of contractive *-representations arise through a consideration of various order structures on *-semigroups.

The universal C*-algebras considered arise through contractive representations of a semigroup. They explicitly involve a star structure, and an overlying order, and complete order structure. The semigroups arising from partial isometries are developed in this context. The particular operator algebras introduced here are further examples of Banach algebras associated with certain semigroups (cf., [DLS], [BD]). In the case of a discrete group G, viewed as a *-semigroup with a natural order and complete order, the various universal C*-algebras associated with G all coincide with the usual group C*-algebra of G. For one of the *-semigroups considered below these universal C*-algebras also coincide, while for other *-semigroups they differ. It is hoped that further interesting structure results for other C*-algebras will develop, as well as investigations involving *-semigroup and ordered *-semigroup universal C*-algebras. Noting that investigations of actions on algebras of operators via isometries and their induced endomorphisms has led to large areas of ongoing inquiry ([A]), there may be potential for investigations involving actions by partial isometries. We anticipate that these universal C*-algebras will point to potentially interesting directions for further investigations.

A brief opening section introduces a universal C*-algebra for contractive *-representations of a *-semigroup. As a basic illustration of how this universal C*-algebra may capture useful structure of a semigroup, a *-semigroup A is introduced and its universal C*-algebra is the universal C*-algebra of a partial isometry. The second section introduces particular *-subsemigroups of A and the notion of an irreducible element in these *-semigroups is introduced. The main *-semigroups of our current interest, D_1 and its unital *-subsemigroup D_0, are defined in terms of irreducible generators. There is a *-semigroup homomorphism ω from the unital *-semigroup D_0 to the non-unital *-semigroup D_1 along with a *-map $\alpha : D_1 \to D_0$ with $\alpha \circ \omega$ the identity homomorphism on D_0. The map α is modelled on the completely positive map induced by a single partial isometry, and is our main focus.

Section 3 concerns partially ordered *-semigroups and their order maps, as well as order maps satisfying the Schwarz inequality and order representations in a C*-algebra. A partial order for the *-semigroup D_1 is described. The universal C*-algebra of a partially ordered *-semigroup exists for the semigroups we consider, however, this is generally not sufficient to obtain a bounded representation of a *-semigroup. For the semigroups considered here the order representations are automatically via contractions, so the universal C*-algebra is a quotient of the initial universal C*-algebra. A further extension is described in section 4, namely matricial partial orders and the corresponding complete order maps on *-semigroups. The map α is a complete order map, and the universal C*-algebra for complete order representations of a matricially ordered *-semigroup is defined. Forming the Hilbert module over the universal matricially ordered C*-algebra for the semigroup D_1 then yields a complete order representation of D_1, resulting in a C*-correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ over this universal C*-algebra. The section concludes with a closer look at a matrix decomposition for elements in the subset of matrices used to define the matricial order structure on D_1.

The final section shows that a relative Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra associated with this C*-correspondence is isomorphic to the universal C*-algebra generated by a partial isometry. The ideal of the complete order universal C*-algebra of D_1 used to describe this relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra is contained in the standard ideal $J_{\mathcal{E}}$, the largest ideal on which the restriction of the left action for the correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ is an injection into the C*-algebra of compact adjointable maps of the Hilbert module. A consequence is that the complete order universal C*-algebra of D_1 is isomorphic to its image in the universal C*-algebra generated by a partial isometry. It follows that the various universal *-semigroup C*-algebras of D_1 are not exact.

Notation

A semigroup is a set with a binary associative operation. For present purposes they are assumed to have the discrete topology. Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the usual additive semigroup of nonzero natural numbers, while $\mathbb{N}_0$ is the unital semigroup with adjoined unit, denoted 0 for this abelian case. To distinguish certain copies of this semigroup we also use $\mathbb{N}^+$ and $\mathbb{N}^-$ to denote the abelian semigroups of strictly positive and strictly negative integers respectively. If a unit is adjoined to these semigroups it is potentially useful to distinguish these, in which case they are 0^+ and 0^- respectively.

For $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the C*-algebra of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$, while $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the norm closed *-subalgebra of compact operators, an ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ - where ideal in the C*-context means a closed two-sided ideal. For a set X and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{M}_n(X)$ is the set of n by n matrices with entries in X, if X is a C*-algebra then so is $\mathrm{M}_n(X)$. For X a set equipped with an involution, the set of selfadjoint elements of $\mathrm{M}_n(X)$ is $\mathrm{M}_n(X)^{sa}$. If $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a map, then $\varphi_n : \mathrm{M}_n(X) \to \mathrm{M}_n(Y)$ denotes the map defined by $\varphi_n([x_{i,j}]) = [\varphi(x_{i,j})]$.

For results and conventions on C*-modules we follow Lance [L]; so if B is a C*-algebra a Hilbert B-module $\mathcal{E}_B$ is a Banach space $\mathcal{E}$ which is a right B-module with an B-valued inner product $\langle , \rangle_B$, denoted $\langle , \rangle$ if the context is clear. The norm on $\mathcal{E}$ is given by $\|x\|^2 = \|\langle x, x\rangle\|$, $(x \in \mathcal{E})$; $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the C*-algebra of adjointable linear operators defined on $\mathcal{E}$ while $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$, in analogy with the case when A is the complex numbers, is the closed two-sided ideal of 'compact' operators $\overline{span}\{\theta^{\mathcal{E}}_{x,y} | x, y \in \mathcal{E}\}$ where $\theta^{\mathcal{E}}_{x,y}(z) = x \langle y, z\rangle$, $(z \in \mathcal{E})$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert B - module the linear span of $\{\langle x, y\rangle | x, y \in \mathcal{E}\}$, denoted $\langle \mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}\rangle$, has closure a two-sided ideal of B. Note that $\mathcal{E} \langle \mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}\rangle$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}$ ([L]). The Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}$ is called full if $\langle \mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}\rangle$ is dense in B. If B is a C*-algebra then B_B refers to the Hilbert module B over itself, where $\langle a, b\rangle = a^*b$ for $a, b \in B$. A C*-correspondence over a C*-algebra B, denoted ${}_B\mathcal{E}_B$, is a Hilbert B-module $\mathcal{E}_B$ along with a specified *-homomorphism $\phi : B \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_B)$.

1 C*-algebras of a *-semigroup

A semigroup S is a set with an associative binary operation. An element x in a semigroup S with at least two elements is a zero element, or absorbing element, if $xy = yx = x$ for all $y \in S$. The stipulation that S have at least two elements follows the convention that the element in the trivial semigroup of one element is not referred to as a zero ([H]). There is at most one such element, and we use the convention that a homomorphism of semigroups with 0 maps the zero element to the zero element. For a semigroup S without zero, the semigroup S^0 is the semigroup S with a zero adjoined. Most of the examples of semigroups considered below do not have a zero. A homomorphism of semigroups which is one to one is called a monomorphism. If T is a semigroup with 0 and $\beta : S \to T$ is a semigroup monomorphism then if there is an $s \in S$ with $\beta(s) = 0$ it follows that s must be an absorbing element, so must be a (so only) zero for S.

A *-semigroup refers to a semigroup equipped with an involutive antihomomorphism, denoted *. There may be several involutive maps on a semigroup, as examples below indicate. If S has an identity it follows that the *-operation must leave it fixed, and if S has a left or right identity the *-operation implies it must be an identity. Similarly if the semigroup S has a left or right zero then it has a zero, and the *-operation must leave it fixed. An element s of a *-semigroup S is called selfadjoint if $s^* = s$. A *-semigroup homomorphism to another *-semigroup maps selfadjoint elements to selfadjoints. The *-operation on a *-semigroup S yields a *-algebra structure on the vector space $\mathbb{C}[S]$ of complex valued functions of finite support on S, with $f^*(s) = \overline{f(s^*)}$ for f such a function. The same *-operation is isometric on the Banach *-algebra $l_1(S)$, with the algebra structure on $l_1(S)$ arising from the convolution operation.

Note that when S is a group or an inverse semigroup, then the inverse operation is a natural *-operation on S, since it satisfies $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$. For an inverse semigroup S the identity $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$ follows from knowing that idempotents in such a semigroup S must commute, and that $a^{-1}a$ is an idempotent for $a \in S$ ([H]). If S is an abelian semigroup then the identity map on S naturally provides a *-operation, so, for example, the semigroup of natural numbers may be considered as a *-semigroup. The semigroup of linear contractions on a Hilbert space form a *-semigroup (with the 0 operator a zero for this semigroup) where the Hilbert space adjoint of a bounded linear map is the *-operation. This *-semigroup provides the primary focus for representations of *-semigroups considered below. It is not a priori clear that there are easily available nontrivial *-representations of S or $l_1(S)$ as bounded operators on a Hilbert space. For S without zero and nonempty there is always at least one nonzero *-semigroup homomorphism of S to the contractions on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, namely the *- homomorphism mapping S to the identity of $B(\mathcal{H})$.

The universal C*-algebra $C_b^*(S)$ for a *-semigroup S is a C*-algebra $C_b^*(S)$ along with a *-semigroup homomorphism $\iota : S \to C_b^*(S)$ satisfying a universal property, namely if $\gamma : S \to B$ is a *-semigroup homomorphism to a C*-algebra B then there is a unique *-homomorphism $\pi_\gamma = \pi : C_b^*(S) \to B$ such that $\pi_\gamma \circ \iota = \gamma$. That such a universal C*-algebra of a given semigroup exists would follow if there are *-semigroup homomorphisms from S to a C*-algebra, and if $\sup\{\|\beta(s)\| \mid \beta$ a *-representation of S in a C*-algebra$\}$ is finite for each $s \in S$. The latter follows for example, if S is described by generators and relations where this is true for each generator s of S. That the map ι is a monomorphism would follow if, for example, there is a *-monomorphism of S into some C*-algebra.

Since the contractions on a Hilbert space form a natural *-semigroup of bounded operators under composition, it is of some interest, using the group situation as a guide, to restrict attention to the situation where elements of S are all mapped to (bounded) linear operators that are uniformly bounded in norm by 1, i.e., to contractions. Call these (*-)semigroup representations contractive (*-)representations of a (*-)semigroup. These are the representations of a *-semigroup that are our main concern below. Since there are subsemigroups of $B(\mathcal{H})$ which may have a unit other than the identity operator, one may want to also direct attention to those representations of S that are nondegenerate. If the semigroup S is unital, then a nondegenerate *-representation would necessarily map the unit to the unit of $B(\mathcal{H})$. A *-representation of a *-semigroup S in the contractions of a C*-algebra necessarily gives rise to a bounded *-representation of the Banach *-algebra $l_1(S)$ in this C*-algebra. Note that in an inverse semigroup S, $a^{-1}a$ is a selfadjoint idempotent for any a in S, consequently any *-representation of an inverse semigroup S must always occur via partial isometries, so in particular is always a representation by contractions. In fact, for any *-semigroup S generated by a set of elements a satisfying $aa^*a = a$ (or $a^*aa^* = a^*$) any *-representation of S is through contractions.

More generally, for a *-semigroup S one may consider different universal C*-algebras $C_F^*(S)$, if they exist, associated with various specified families F of *-homomorphisms between *-semigroups and into C*-algebras. For example, F could consist of *-representations, or contractive *-representations, or as described in subsequent sections, certain order *-representations. Note that the universal property implies that the image of the map $\iota : S \to C_F^*(S)$ generates the C*-algebra $C_F^*(S)$, or in other words the *-subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[\iota(S)]$ of $C_F^*(S)$ is a dense *-subalgebra of $C_F^*(S)$. Although a standard argument we include it for completeness.

Proposition 1.1 *For S a *-semigroup, assume the universal C*-algebra $C_F^*(S)$ exists. The *-subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[\iota(S)]$ of $C_F^*(S)$ is a dense *-subalgebra of $C_F^*(S)$.*

Proof. Let C be the closure of the *-algebra $\mathbb{C}[\iota(S)]$ and $j : C \to C_F^*(S)$ the canonical inclusion, so $j \circ \underline{\iota} = \iota$ where $\underline{\iota}$ is the restriction of ι to codomain C. We show that $(C, \underline{\iota})$ satisfies the universal property. If $\varphi : S \to B$ is a *-semigroup homomorphism in F to a C*-algebra B then set $\pi_C = \pi \circ j$, where $\pi : C_F^*(S) \to B$ is the unique *-homomorphism such that $\pi \circ \iota = \varphi$. Clearly $\pi_C \circ \underline{\iota} = \pi \circ j \circ \underline{\iota} = \varphi$, so it only remains to show that π_C is the unique such map. The universal property for $C_F^*(S)$ implies there is a *-homomorphism $\rho : C_F^*(S) \to C$ with $\rho \circ \iota = \underline{\iota}$, so $\rho \circ j \circ \underline{\iota} = \underline{\iota}$ and since $\underline{\iota}(S)$ generates C as a C*-algebra, $\rho \circ j = Id_C$. If π'_C is another map with $\pi'_C \circ \iota = \varphi$ then

$\pi'_C \circ \rho \circ \iota = \pi'_C \circ \underline{\iota} = \varphi = \pi \circ \iota$, so the universal property for $C^*_F(S)$ yields $\pi'_C \circ \rho = \pi$. Thus $\pi'_C = \pi'_C \circ \rho \circ j = \pi \circ j = \pi_C$. ∎

The basic universal C*-algebra for a *-semigroup under consideration is that associated with contractive *-representations.

Definition 1.2 *The universal C*-algebra $C^*(S)$ for a *-semigroup S is a C*-algebra $C^*(S)$ along with a *-semigroup homomorphism $\iota : S \to C^*(S)$ into the contractions of $C^*(S)$ satisfying the following universal property: if $\gamma : S \to B$ is a contractive *-semigroup homomorphism to a C*-algebra B then there is a unique *-homomorphism $\pi_\gamma = \pi : C^*(S) \to B$ such that $\pi_\gamma \circ \iota = \gamma$. The homomorphism ι is denoted ι_S when necessary.*

If a group G is viewed as a unital *-semigroup, where the * of the element is defined to be inverse of an element, then a unital *-representation in a C*-algebra must be a unitary representation, so a unital contractive *-representation. Conversely, a unitary representation of G is clearly a unital *-representation. Thus the universal C*-algebra $C^*(G)$ for the group G as a *-semigroup exists and is the usual group C*-algebra of G.

For a given abelian *-semigroup S with * equal to the identity map on S, if the universal C*-algebra $C^*(S)$ exists, then each element of S is viewed as a selfadjoint contraction in the abelian algebra $C^*(S)$. For example $\mathbb{N}$, the non-unital abelian semigroup generated by a single element, may also be viewed as the *-semigroup generated by a single selfadjoint element. The C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{N})$ is then the universal C*-algebra generated by a selfadjoint contraction, namely $\{f \in C([-1,1]) \mid f(0) = 0\}$. For the unital *-semigroup $\mathbb{N}_0$, $C^*(\mathbb{N}_0)$ is the abelian unital C*-algebra $C([-1,1])$.

Given a set B with an involution σ then the free *-semigroup F_B on B is a *-semigroup along with a *-map $\iota : B \to F_B$ satisfying the property that if $\gamma : B \to T$ is a *-map to a *-semigroup T then there is a unique *-semigroup homomorphism $\pi_\gamma : F_B \to T$ with $\pi_\gamma \circ \iota = \gamma$. The universal *-semigroup F_B exists and is unique up to a bijective *- homomorphism. Given a set C and a copy $\widetilde{C}$ of C there is an involution σ on the disjoint union $B = C \sqcup \widetilde{C}$; we may view F_B as the universal *-semigroup generated by C. The free inverse semigroup I_B on a set B with an involution can be similarly defined. It exists and is a quotient *-semigroup of F_B.

If a *-semigroup S can be embedded via a *-monomorphism ρ as a subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup $\mathcal{J}(X)$ on a set X, so as a *-subsemigroup of the inverse semigroup (viewed as a *-semigroup) given by partial bijections on a set X, then there is a *-representation π of S by bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $l^2(X)$ given by $\pi(s)f(x) = f(\rho(s)^{-1}x)$ for $f \in l^2(X)$, $x \in X$, where f is interpreted to have the value zero on the empty set (so, for example in this case, whenever $\rho(s)^{-1}x$ is not defined). Since the Vagner-Preston representation theorem ([H]) ensures that any inverse semigroup S has such an embedding, the universal C*-algebras $C^*_b(S)$ and $C^*(S)$ must always exist for a general inverse semigroup S, and are isomorphic by our previous remarks (cf. [DP]). We remark that the selfadjoint idempotents $a^{-1}a$

$(a \in S)$ in an inverse semigroup form a commutative subsemigroup of idempotents which, if totally ordered, naturally yields aspects of the structure needed to consider nest algebras associated with S.

Recall that $\mathbb{N}^+$ and $\mathbb{N}^-$ denote the abelian semigroups of strictly positive and strictly negative integers respectively. Define A_c to be the free semigroup product of $\mathbb{N}^+$ and $\mathbb{N}^-$. Form the set of nonempty reduced words, or strings $\{(n_0, n_1, ..., n_k) \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \Leftrightarrow n_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}^-\}$ of finite alternating sequences of elements in $\mathbb{N}^+$ and $\mathbb{N}^-$, with multiplication given by concatenation of words followed by reduction to a reduced word. A *-operation on A_c is given by $(n_0, n_1, ..., n_k)^* = (-n_k, -n_{k-1}, ..., -n_0)$. It is clear that A_c is the free *-semigroup on the two element set $B = \{1, -1\}$ with involution $1^* = -1$, so the free *-semigroup generated by a single element; its C*-algebra $C^*(A_c)$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by a single contraction.

Consider the free inverse semigroup generated by a single element a. The universal C*-algebra of this inverse semigroup is the universal C*-algebra of a partial isometry v with the property that all words in v and v^* are partial isometries. This is equivalent to v being a power partial isometry ([CDP]). Note that the universal C*-algebra generated by an isometry is $C^*(B)$ where B is the bicyclic semigroup, a unital inverse semigroup ([H], [CDP]).

The universal abelian *-semigroup generated by a single element is $(\mathbb{N}_0^+ \times \mathbb{N}_0^-) \backslash \{(0^+, 0^-)\}$ where $(n, -m)(\widetilde{n}, -\widetilde{m}) = (n + \widetilde{n}, -m - \widetilde{m})$ and $(n, -m)^* = (m, -n)$, while the universal C*-algebra of this *-semigroup for contractive *-representations is the universal C*-algebra generated by a normal contraction, so $\{f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \mid f(0,0) = 0\}$ where $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is the closed unit disc in the plane. The universal unital abelian *-semigroup generated by a single element is $\mathbb{N}_0^+ \times \mathbb{N}_0^-$, with unit $(0^+, 0^-)$, and its universal C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{N}_0^+ \times \mathbb{N}_0^-)$ is $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

If $\beta : S \to T$ is a *-homomorphism of *-semigroups where the universal C*-algebras $C^*(S)$ and $C^*(T)$ for S and T exist then the universal property applied to the map $\beta \circ \iota_T$ implies that there is a *-homomorphism of C*-algebras $\beta_* : C^*(S) \to C^*(T)$. If $\gamma : R \to S$ is another such *-homomorphism then a standard universal property argument implies $(\beta\gamma)_* = \beta_* \gamma_*$. If $\gamma : R \to S$ is a surjection of *-semigroups and the universal C*-algebras for S and T exist then Proposition 1.1 implies $\beta_* : C^*(S) \to C^*(T)$ is a surjection of C*-algebras. There are also appropriate versions of these types of properties for various families F of *-homomorphisms.

For example a surjection of the unital abelian *-semigroup $\mathbb{N}_0^+ \times \mathbb{N}_0^-$ to the group $\mathbb{Z}$ viewed as a *-semigroup is via the unital *-homomorphism $\tau : (m, -n) \to m - n$ defined by the universal property. Then τ_* is the restriction map, the surjective *-homomorphism $i^\# : C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \to C(\mathbb{T})$ where $i : \mathbb{T} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is the inclusion map. With the *-operation on $\mathbb{N}_0$ defined by the identity map there is a unital *-homomorphism $j : \mathbb{N}_0^+ \to \mathbb{N}_0^+ \times \mathbb{N}_0^-$ mapping n to $(n, -n)$ (and 0 to $(0^+, 0^-)$) in the *-subsemigroup $\tau^{-1}(0)$ of $\mathbb{N}_0^+ \times \mathbb{N}_0^-$. The resulting *-homomorphism of universal contractive C*-algebras is $j_* : C([-1, 1]) \to C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ which maps the function $f(t) = t$ to the function $g(z) = |z|^2$. Using the alternate *-structure on $\mathbb{Z}$ given by the identity map the *-semigroup $\mathbb{N}_0^+$ includes into the *-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ via $n \to n$. With this *-operation $C^*(\mathbb{Z})$ is $C(\{-1,1\})$, the universal C*-algebra generated by an invertible selfadjoint contraction (with contractive inverse), and the induced homomorphism $C([-1,1]) \to C(\{-1,1\})$ of the continuous function C*-algebras is the restriction *-homomorphism.

Both past and ongoing approaches for associating C*-algebras with semigroups often involve representing semigroups as isometries on a Hilbert space. This type of approach, however, implicitly involves viewing the initial semigroup as a subsemigroup of a *-semigroup, since any semigroup of isometries on a Hilbert space naturally sits inside a *-semigroup, namely the *-subsemigroup generated by the representing isometries and their adjoints. Since embeddings of semigroups in other semigroups may negate semigroup structure properties, the naturality properties of such embeddings could profitably be acknowledged and initially explored at the semigroup level.

An illustration of this implicit approach occurs in [Li] where a full C*-algebra for a left cancellative unital semigroup P is defined by first embedding P in a particular inverse semigroup S_P; namely left translation by the elements of P on the set P allows P to be viewed as a subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup $\mathcal{J}(P)$ of partial bijections on the set P. The full C*-algebra is then, in effect, described as the universal C*-algebra of the inverse subsemigroup S_P of $\mathcal{J}(P)$generated by P. The structure of S_P, unital and with zero, then ensures that the elements of P, which must be partial isometries since $\mathcal{J}(P)$ is an inverse semigroup, are actually isometries in this C*-algebra.

We introduce a particular *-semigroup A which is naturally associated with a partial isometry. Describe an equivalence relation $\sim$ on A_c compatible with the *-semigroup operations, so if x, y,and z are elements of A_c with $x \sim y$ then $x^* \sim y^*$, $xz \sim yz$ and $zx \sim zy$, by stipulating that $(n_0, n_1, ..., n_k) \sim (n_0, n_1, ..., n_i \pm 1 + n_{i+2}, ...n_k)$ whenever $0 \leq i \leq k-2$, and $n_{i+1} = \pm 1$. For example if $n_{i+1} = 1$ then n_i and n_{i+2} must both be in $\mathbb{N}^-$, and the sum $n_i + 1 + n_{i+2}$ (performed in $\mathbb{Z}$) is again in $\mathbb{N}^-$. Set A to be the *-semigroup of congruence classes $(A_c)/\sim$. One can verify that there is a unique representative of any congruence class, and describe it using the notation $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_k)$ where $n_{i+1} \neq \pm 1$ whenever $0 \leq i \leq k-2$, and refer to it as a reduced word in A. Define the length of such a reduced word n, denoted $l(n)$, to be $k+1$.

Note that the *-semigroup A is not unital, does not have a zero, and is not an inverse semigroup. It is also not a left (so also not right) cancellative semigroup; namely if $mn = mr$ for m, m, r in A then it does not follow that $n = r$. For example, choose $m = (m_0, ..., m_k)$ to be any (reduced) word with $m_k > 1$, n to be a (reduced) word $(-1, 2, n_2, ..., n_k)$, and $r = (1, n_2, ..., n_k)$. In particular one could choose $n = (-1, 2, -1)$ and $r = (1, -1)$.

Since the elements $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$ are self adjoint idempotents in A they must be mapped to projections under any *-homomorphism into a C*-algebra, and the generator (1) of A as a *-semigroup is therefore always mapped to a contraction, in fact a partial isometry. Thus the semigroup A has the property that any *-representation is also a contractive representation, so $C^*_b(A)$ exists and is *-isomorphic to $C^*(A)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the universal C*-algebra ([BN]) generated by a partial isometry

v. The *-semigroup A possesses a universal C*-algebra in which it is faithfully embedded. To see this it is enough to see that the *-semigroup A has such a faithful representation. Since $v^*vv^* = v^*$ and $vv^*v = v$ we may faithfully embed A via a contractive *-semigroup homomorphism, denote it by σ, into the C*-algebra $\mathcal{P}$ by mapping (1) of A to the partial isometry v of $\mathcal{P}$. Since A is generated as a *-semigroup by the element (1) this assignment determines the embedding σ.

By the universal property for the universal C*-algebra of a *-semigroup there is a *-homomorphism $\pi_\sigma : C^*(A) \to \mathcal{P}$ with $\pi_\sigma \circ \iota = \sigma$.

Theorem 1.3 *With* $\sigma : A \to \mathcal{P}$ *the contractive *-semigroup homomorphism defined above the *-homomorphism* $\pi_\sigma : C^*(A) \to \mathcal{P}$ *of C*-algebras is a *-isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is generated as a C*-algebra by the partial isometry $v = \pi_\sigma\iota(1)$, π_σ is surjective. Since $\iota(1)$ is a partial isometry in the C*-algebra $C^*(A)$, the universal property of the C*-algebra $\mathcal{P}$ implies there is a *-homomorphism $\lambda : \mathcal{P} \to C^*(A)$ with $\lambda(v) = \iota(1)$. Since $\lambda\pi_\sigma\iota(1) = \iota(1)$ and $\iota(1)$ generates $\iota(A)$ as a *-semigroup we have $\lambda\pi_\sigma = Id_{\iota(A)}$, so by Proposition 1.1 $\lambda\pi_\sigma = Id_{C^*(A)}$. ■

Given that free products were used to describe A it is not surprising that A provides an example of a discrete *-semigroup whose universal C*-algebra is not exact. In fact $C^*(A)$ is Morita equivalent to the universal unital C*-algebra generated by a contraction, so to the universal C*-algebra for the (unital) *-semigroup generated by a single element ([BN]).

2 *-Subsemigroups of A

The *-semigroup A has many *-subsemigroups. For example, the words $n = (n_0, n_1, .., n_k)$ in A with $k \geq 1$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^-$ and $n_k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ form a *-subsemigroup A_+. Similarly define the semigroup A_- to be the words $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_k)$ in A which have $k \geq 1$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $n_k \in \mathbb{N}^-$. Note that A_+ and A_- are disjoint unital *-semigroups, with $(-1, 1)$ the unit of A_+ and $(1, -1)$ the unit of A_-, while the length of any element of A_+ or A_- must be even.

Viewing $\mathbb{Z}$ as a *-semigroup where the *-operation arises from the group structure, the universal property for A_c implies that there is a *-semigroup homomorphism $\tau : A_c \to \mathbb{Z}$. It defines, as it is constant on the appropriate congruence classes, a *-homomorphism, also denoted τ, on A. Thus $\tau(nm) = \tau(n) + \tau(m)$, $\tau(n^*) = -\tau(n)$, and so $\tau(nm) = \tau(mn)$. Set A^0 to be the *-subsemigroup $\tau^{-1}(0)$ of A. If $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_k) \in A^0$ then $\tau(n) = \sum_{i=0}^k n_i$, consequently all words in A^0 have length at least 2. Using τ it follows that any left or right unit of an element of A, so in particular any idempotent, must be in A^0. The selfadjoint elements of A are all contained in A^0. Referring to the theorem above note that the canonical action γ of the group $\mathbb{T}$ by *-automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}$, where $\gamma_t(v) = tv$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, determines a conditional expectation of $\mathcal{P}$ to a C*-subalgebra $\mathcal{P}^0$, and it is clear that the contractive representation σ maps the *-semigroup A^0 to $\mathcal{P}^0$.

Consideration of the length function yields the following properties for multiplication of reduced words $m = (m_0, m_1, ..., m_k)$ and $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_j)$ in A: $l(mn) = l(m) + l(n) - 1$ iff m_k and n_0 have the same sign; if m_k and n_0 have opposite signs then $l(mn)$ is either $l(m) + l(n)$ or $l(m) + l(n) - 2$. The later occurs iff at least one of $|m_k| = 1$ and $l(m) \geq 2$, or $|n_0| = 1$ and $l(n) \geq 2$. It is also straightforward to see that if $mn = pq$ with $l(m) = l(p), l(n) = l(q)$ for $m, n, p, q \in A$ then $m = p$ and $n = q$. Using these observations, and τ, it is straightforward to show that the only idempotents of A are the elements $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$.

Other *-semigroups of A^0 may be identified by considering further structure. First we define and identify certain irreducible elements of the *-semigroup A^0. Two *-subsemigroups of A^0 are then introduced, which, although not satisfying unique factorization into irreducibles, satisfy a certain unique factorization property.

If p is an element in a general semigroup S with $p = pn$ for some n then $p = pn = pn^2 = ... = pn^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. It follows, using the properties of τ and the length l under multiplication, that an element n of A is a product nm (or mn) in A iff m is one of two idempotents $(-1, 1)$ or $(1, -1)$. Although a useful approach to irreducible elements for more general semigroups may differ from the one below, for our specific context the following approach is sufficient.

Definition 2.1 *An element p of a semigroup S is irreducible if p cannot be written as a product of (at least) two elements in S, or whenever $p = mn$ with $m, n \in S$ then $p = m$, or $p = n$. Say p factors nontrivially in S if it is not irreducible, so there exist $m, n \in S$ with $p = mn$ and neither $p = m$ nor $p = n$.*

In particular if p is irreducible in any subsemigroup of A^0 containing either of the idempotents $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$ then $p = mn$ implies that either $p = m$ (so necessarily n is one of these idempotents) or $p = m$ (with n one of the same two idempotents). It also follows that the idempotents of A are irreducible in any subsemigroup of A^0 containing either of them. If S is a *-semigroup then an element p of S is irreducible if and only if p^* is irreducible in S.

To investigate the irreducible elements of A^0 we introduce the sequence of maps $\sigma_r : A \to Z$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, defined on A by $\sigma_r(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} n_i$ for $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_k)$, where $\sigma_r(n) = \tau(n)$ if $k \leq r$. The next lemma shows that irreducibility is characterized by a lack of sign changes in the sequence $\sigma_r(n)$ as r increases from 0 through $k - 1$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $p = (p_0, ..., p_l) \in A^0$. The element p is irreducible in the *-semigroup A^0 if and only if $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_r(p) > 0$ for all $r \in \{1, 2, ..., l - 1\}$.*

Proof. We assume for the sake of definiteness that $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}^-$. The situation with $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is similar.

Suppose p factors nontrivially in A^0, so there are $m, n \in A^0$ with $p = mn$ and neither $p = m$ nor $p = n$. We will show that $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_r(p) \leq 0$ for some

$r \in \{1, 2, ..., l-1\}$. Therefore let $m = (m_0, ..., m_j)$ and $n = (n_0, ..., n_k)$, with $m_0 = p_0 < 0$. We consider various cases.

First suppose $sign(m_j) = sign(n_0)$. Then $m_j + n_0$ cannot be ± 1 and $p = (m_0, ..., m_j + n_0, ..., n_k)$. If $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_r(p) > 0$ for all $r \in \{1, 2, ..., j-1\}$ then (since $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}^-$) we must have that $\sigma_r(p) < 0$ for all $r \in \{1, 2, ..., j-1\}$. Since $0 = \tau(m) = \sigma_{j-1}(p) + m_j$ it follows that $m_j > 0$. Thus n_0 is also strictly larger than 0, and we have $\sigma_j(p) = \sigma_j(m) + n_0 > \tau(m) = 0$. Therefore $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_j(p) < 0$, and we have shown that either $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_r(p) \leq 0$ for some $r \in \{1, 2, ..., j-1\}$ or $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_j(p) < 0$.

Next suppose $sign(m_j) \neq sign(n_0)$. If neither m_j nor $n_0 = \pm 1$, then $p = (m_0, ..., m_j, n_0, ..., n_k)$ so $\sigma_j(p) = \tau(m) = 0$ and $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_j(p) \leq 0$. We next consider if $m_j = \pm 1$. If for example $m_j = 1$, then m_{j-1} and n_0 are both less than 0, and $p_{j-1} = m_{j-1} + 1 + n_0$. If $m_{j-1} = -1$ then $j = 1$, $m = (-1, 1)$ and $p = mn = n$, and this is not a nontrivial factorization of p. Therefore we must have $m_{j-1} \leq -2$, and since $\tau(m) = 0$ and $m_0 = p_0 < 0$, $j \geq 3$. Since $0 = \tau(m) = m_0 + ... + m_{j-2} + (m_{j-1} + 1) < m_0 + ... + m_{j-2} = \sigma_{j-2}(p)$, we have $\sigma_{j-2}(p) > 0$, and the product $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_{j-2}(p) < 0$. On the other hand, if $m_j = -1$, then as before m_{j-1} and n_0 are both greater than 0 and $p_{j-1} = m_{j-1} - 1 + n_0$. We have $\sigma_{j-1}(p) = m_0 + ...m_{j-2} + (m_{j-1} - 1 + n_0) = \tau(m) + n_0 > 0$, so $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_{j-1}(p) < 0$. The cases where $n_0 = \pm 1$ are dealt with similarly.

To prove the condition is necessary, suppose $\sigma_0(p)\sigma_r(p) \leq 0$ for some $r \in \{1, 2, ..., l-1\}$. Since $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}^-$, we have $\sigma_r(p) \geq 0$ for some $r \in \{1, 2, ..., l-1\}$. Thus $r \geq 1$ and $r \leq l-1$ so $l \geq 2$, and p is a word of length at least 3. Choose r to be the least such element. Thus $\sigma_{r-1}(p) < 0$, so we have that $p_r > 0$ and $\sum_{i=r}^{l} p_i = \tau(p) - \sigma_{r-1}(p) = 0 - \sigma_{r-1}(p) > 0$. Consider two possibilities; if $0 < \sigma_r(p)$ $(= p_r + \sigma_{r-1}(p))$ set $m = (p_0, ..., p_{r-1}, -\sigma_{r-1}(p))$, $n = (p_r + \sigma_{r-1}(p), p_{r+1}, ..., p_l)$. On the other hand if $\sigma_r(p) = 0$ then set $m = (p_0, ..., p_{r-1}, p_r)$, and $n = (p_{r+1}, ..., p_l)$. Then $m, n \in A^0$ and $p = mn$. To finish we need to ensure that this is not a trivial factorization, namely that $p = m$ or $p = n$ is not the case. Since either of these equalities cannot occur in A_c, and since p by virtue of it being a reduced word cannot have $|p_i| = 1$ for $p_i \in \{p_1, ..., p_{l-1}\}$, this can only possibly occur if either $-\sigma_{r-1}(p)$ or $p_r + \sigma_{r-1}(p) = 1$. Either situation however forces $sign(m_r) = sign(n_0)$, and since $p = mn$, it must be that $p_r = m_r + n_0$. Since $r \geq 1$ neither $p = m$ nor $p = n$ is possible. ■

It follows that the only irreducible elements $p = (p_0, ..., p_l)$ of A^0 with $|p_0| = 1$, or $|p_l| = 1$, are the idempotents $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$. Also all elements $(-n, n)$ and $(n, -n)$ of A^0, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are irreducible.

Set $A^0_+ = A^0 \cap A_+$, an intersection of *-semigroups so also a *-semigroup, and A^0_- the *-subsemigroup $A^0 \cap A_-$. Since the unit $(-1, 1)$ of A_+ is in A^0, and the unit $(1, -1)$ of A_- is in A^0, each of the *-subsemigroups A^0_+ and A^0_- are unital, with units $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$ respectively. Many of the results shown below for A^0_+ have corresponding statements holding for A^0_-. Since elements of either A_+ or A_- have even length, elements of either of $A^0_\pm$ must also have even length. The following result shows that these *-semigroups arise naturally.

Proposition 2.3 *If $p \in A^0$ is irreducible in A^0 then $p \in A^0_+$ or $p \in A^0_-$, and p has even length.*

Proof. Let $p = (p_0, ..., p_k) \in A^0$ be irreducible. The preceding lemma shows $sign(p_0) = sign(\sigma_{k-1}(p))$. Since $0 = \tau(p)$, $p_k = -\sigma_{k-1}(p)$, $sign(p_0)$ and $sign(p_k)$ are different. Thus $p \in A^0_+$ or $p \in A^0_-$ and the length of p is even. ■

The semigroup A^0 contains the irreducible idempotents $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$ which are units for different subsemigroups, so A^0 does not have unique factorization into irreducibles. For example $(-1, 1)(1, -1) = (-1, 1)(-1, 1)(1, -1)$, or $(-1, 1)(-2, 2)(1, -1) = (-2, 2)$. However, it is clear that any element of A^0 may be written as a product of irreducible elements of A^0, and that this can be done in a unique (minimal) manner once one insists on only single powers of idempotent irreducibles, and also if one does not include such an idempotent in the product if it acts as either a left or right unit for the element to its right or left respectively. Note that it is not the case that an element of A^0_+ that is irreducible as an element of the semigroup A^0_+ is also irreducible in A^0: for example $(-1, 2, -2, 1)$ is the product $(-1, 1)(1, -1)(-1, 1)$ of irreducibles in A^0 but is irreducible in the semigroup A^0_+.

Definition 2.4 *Let $Irr_+(A^0)$ be the irreducible elements of A^0 contained in A^0_+; similarly for $Irr_-(A^0)$. Define D_0 to be the subsemigroup of A^0_+ generated by $Irr_+(A^0)$.*

Since A^0_+ (and A^0_-) is *-closed, the previous Proposition shows $Irr_+(A^0)$ (and $Irr_-(A^0)$) is *-closed. Since $(-1, 1)$ is a unit of A^0_+, and $Irr_+(A^0)$ is *-closed, D_0 is a unital *-semigroup. The nonunital elements of this semigroup do have unique factorization into irreducible elements of $D_0 \backslash \{(-1, 1)\}$. We remark for future use that D_0 is the free unital *-semigroup over the *-closed set $Irr_+(A^0) \backslash (-1, 1)$.

Proposition 2.5 *The semigroup D_0 is a unital *-semigroup equal to*
$$\left\{n \in A^0_+ \mid \sigma_r(n) \leq 0 \text{ for all } r \geq 0\right\} = \left\{n \in A^0 \mid \sigma_r(n) \leq 0 \text{ for all } r \geq 0\right\}.$$

The element $(-1, 2, -2, 1)$ of A^0_+ considered above is not in D_0, showing D_0 is a proper subsemigroup of A^0_+.

We introduce the *-map $\alpha : A \to A$ defined by $\alpha(n) = (-1)n(1)$ for $n \in A$. Thus for example $\alpha(-1, 1) = (-2, 2)$, and $\alpha(1, -1) = (-1, 1)$. Since $\tau \circ \alpha = \tau$ the map α clearly restricts to a map of A^0 to itself. It is also a map of A_+ to itself, and therefore of A^0_+ to itself, though is no longer 'inner' in these subsemigroups. Note that the *-map α on the *-semigroup A may be viewed as the restriction to $\sigma(A)$ (Theorem 1.3) of the completely positive linear map on $\mathcal{P}$ given by $\varphi : x \to v^*xv$. This is used later to construct a representation of a certain C*-correspondence.

We remark that for a general *-semigroup S, if $a \in S$ is an element with $aa^*a = a$ then a^*a and aa^* are idempotents and the map $\alpha_a : s \to a^*sa$ defines a *-isomorphism (surjective *-monomorphism) of *-subsemigroups $aa^*Saa^* \to a^*aSa^*a$.

Proposition 2.6 *With* $\alpha : A \to A$ *defined by* $\alpha(n) = (-1)n(1)$, *the image* $\alpha(D_0) = Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$. *Thus* α *restricts to a *-map* $\alpha : D_0 \to D_0$.

Proof. Let $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_k)$ be an arbitrary element of D_0. Then $\sigma_0(n) < 0$ and $\sigma_r(n) \leq 0$ for $r \geq 1$. For $r \in \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ we compute $\sigma_0(\alpha(n))\sigma_r(\alpha(n)) = (\sigma_0(n) - 1)(\sigma_r(n) - 1) = \sigma_0(n)\sigma_r(n) - (\sigma_0(n) + \sigma_r(n)) + 1$ which is at least $-\sigma_0(n) + 1 > 0$. Thus, since $\alpha(n) \in A^0_+$, Lemma 2.2 implies $\alpha(D_0) \subseteq Irr_+(A^0)$.

Let $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_k) \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$ and β denote the map defined for such n by $\beta(n) = (n_0 + 1, n_1, ..., n_k - 1)$. We claim that $\beta(n)$ is in D_0, so in other words that $\beta(n)$ is a product of irreducible elements in $Irr_+(A^0)$. Once the claim is established we are finished, since $\alpha\beta(n) = n$ for $n \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$.

First note that $\sigma_r(n) < 0$ for $r \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$, so if $n_0 = -1$, then since n_1 is positive, we must have $k = 1$ and $n_1 = 1$, contradicting the choice of n. Therefore $n_0 \leq -2$. Similarly n_k cannot be 1, so $n_k - 1 > 0$ and $\beta(n) \in A^0_+$. We also then have that $\sigma_0(\beta(n)) < 0$ and $\sigma_r(\beta(n)) \leq 0$ for $r \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$, so that $\sigma_0(\beta(n))\sigma_r(\beta(n)) \geq 0$ for all $r \in \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$. If $\beta(n)$ is irreducible, including the possibility that it is $(-1,1)$, we are done. Lemma 2.2 implies that if $\beta(n)$ is not irreducible then $\sigma_0(\beta(n))\sigma_r(\beta(n)) = 0$ for some $r \in \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$. In this case, the proof of Lemma 2.2 shows that $\beta(n) = (n_0 + 1, n_1, ..., n_r)(n_{r+1}, ..., n_k - 1) = m_1 m_2$ where both factors m_i are in A^0_+. Lemma 2.2 also showed that this factorization cannot be the trivial factorization, so neither m_i can be the unit $(-1,1)$ of A^0_+. Letting r be the least such index shows that m_1 is irreducible, therefore in $Irr_+(A^0)$. Since $\sigma_r(\beta(n)) \leq 0$ for all r it follows that $\sigma_r(m_2) \leq 0$ for all r, so the same argument applies to m_2 if it is not irreducible. An induction argument finishes the claim. ■

For $n = (n_0, n_1, .., n_k) \in A$ consider the sum $\tau^+(n) = \sum_{n_i \geq 1} n_i$, an element in $\mathbb{N}^+$. Any subset L of A can be written as the disjoint union over $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ of the sets $L(k) = \{n \in L \mid \tau^+(n) = k\}$. For $n \in A^0_+$ we have $\tau^+(\alpha(n)) = \tau^+(n) + 1$ (although this is not generally true on A; consider $n = (1, -1)$). The subset L we are interested in is $Irr_+(A^0)$. If $n \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$ then $\tau^+(\beta(n)) = \tau^+(n) - 1$ with β defined as above in Proposition 2.6. It is straightforward to check that $\tau^+(mn) = \tau^+(m) + \tau^+(n)$ for $m, n \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$.

Theorem 2.7 *The *-semigroup* D_0 *is the smallest subsemigroup of* A *containing the element* $(-1,1)$ *and closed under the map* α. *The subset of* D_0, $Irr_+(A^0)(k) = \{m \in Irr_+(A^0) \mid \tau^+(m) = k\}$ *is*

$$\left\{ \alpha^{k_0}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i\right) \mid m_i \in Irr_+(A^0)(k_i),\ k_0 + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i = k,\ k_0 > 0 \textit{ if } k > 1,\ \textit{and } k_i > 1 \textit{ if } r > 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. For $k = 1$ the only element n of $Irr_+(A^0)(1)$ is $(-1,1)$. Choose $n \in Irr_+(A^0)(k)$ with $k > 1$. Then the proof of the previous Proposition shows $\beta(n)$ is a product of elements, say r of them, m_i in $Irr_+(A^0)$, each of which must have $\tau^+(m_i) < k$. If $r > 1$ we must have (again by the previous Proposition) that none of the m_i are the unit $(-1,1)$ of A^0_+, so $k_i > 1$. However, if $r = 1$ then $m_1 = (-1,1)$ is certainly possible. Since $\alpha\beta(n) = n$ we obtain the description of $Irr_+(A^0)(k)$. The first statement then follows by induction. ■

One can now proceed to iteratively list the irreducible elements of D_0, or $Irr_+(A^0)$. For example, the elements $(-k,k)$ are the irreducible elements of $Irr_+(A^0)(k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 4$. For $Irr_+(A^0)(5)$ we obtain $\{(-5,5),(-3,2,-2,3)\}$, while $Irr_+(A^0)(6)$ is $\{(-6,6),(-4,2,-2,4),(-4,3,-2,3),(-3,2,-3,4)\}$.

Definition 2.8 *Let D_1 denote the *-subsemigroup of A^0_+ generated by the idempotent $(1,-1)$ as well as the irreducible elements $Irr_+(A^0)$, so by the idempotent $(1,-1)$ and the *-semigroup D_0.*

The same type of example used earlier for A also shows that D_1 is not a cancellative semigroup. On the other hand the *-semigroup D_0 is cancellative.

Remark 2.9 *Note $(-1,1)\alpha(a) = \alpha(a)(-1,1) = \alpha(a)$, $\alpha((1,-1)a) = \alpha(a(1,-1))$ $= \alpha(a)$ for $a \in A$, and also that $\alpha(a(1,-1)b) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b)$ for $a,b \in A$. Since $\alpha(1,-1) = (-1,1) \in D_0$ we see that $\alpha(D_1) = D_0$, so α may be viewed as a map $D_1 \to D_0$. We also remark that D_1 is the smallest semigroup of A containing $(1,-1)$ and closed under the map α. There is a useful alternate intrinsic characterization of D_1 that is similar to the corresponding description of D_0 (Proposition 2.5), namely $D_1 = \left\{n \in A^0 \mid \sigma_r(n) \leq 1 \text{ for all } r \geq 0\right\}$.*

The map $\alpha : D_1 \to D_0$, which is not a semigroup homomorphism, has a splitting homomorphism, in other words there is a *-semigroup homomorphism ω from the unital *-semigroup D_0 to D_1 with $\alpha \circ \omega$ equal to the identity homomorphism on D_0. We also have that $\omega \circ \alpha : D_1 \to (1,-1)D_1(1,-1)$, which is basically a conditional expectation. Heuristically the map ω is the restriction to D_0 of the map defined on A^0 by $n \to (1,n,-1)$, however the properties of ω follow more easily by defining it intrinsically using previously established properties, for example by making use of the unique decomposition of a nonunital element of D_0 as a product of nonunital irreducible elements in $Irr_+(A^0) = Irr(D_0)$. The definition of ω uses, from Proposition 2.6, that the element $\beta(a) \in D_0$ for any $a \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$, where $(-1,1)$ is the unit of D_0.

Definition 2.10 *For $a \in D_0 \setminus (-1,1)$ let $a = a_1 a_2 ... a_k$ be the unique decomposition as a product of irreducible elements in $D_0 \setminus (-1,1)$. With $\beta : Irr(D_0) \setminus (-1,1) \to D_0$ the map introduced in the proof of Proposition 2.6 define a *-homomorphism $\omega : D_0 \to D_1$ by*

$$\omega(a) = (1,-1)\beta(a_1)(1,-1)\beta(a_2)(1,-1)...(1,-1)\beta(a_k)(1,-1).$$

Set $\omega((-1,1)) = (1,-1)$.

Proposition 2.11 *The map $\omega : D_0 \to D_1$ is a *-homomorphism with range in $(1,-1)D_1(1,-1)$ satisfying $\alpha \circ \omega = Id_{D_0}$ and $\omega \circ \alpha(a) = (1,-1)a(1,-1)$ for $a \in D_1$.*

Proof. The uniqueness of the irreducible product decomposition implies ω is a *-homomorphism. Since $\alpha(a) \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$ if $a \in D_0$ (Proposition 2.6), and $\beta(\alpha(a)) = a$ for such a, we have $\omega \circ \alpha(a) = (1,-1)a(1,-1)$ for $a \in$

D_0. For general $a \in D_1$ write $a = (1,-1)a_1(1,-1)a_2(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_k(1,-1)$ uniquely as a product with $a_i \in D_0$ (where the first and last $(1,-1)$ may or may not occur). By Remark 2.9 $\omega \circ \alpha(a) = \omega(\alpha(a_1)...\alpha(a_k)) = \omega\alpha(a_1)...\omega\alpha(a_k) = (1,-1)a_1(1,-1)(1,-1)a_2(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_k(1,-1) = (1,-1)a(1,-1)$.

For $a \in D_0 \backslash (-1,1)$ write $a = a_1a_2...a_k$ as the product of irreducible elements of D_0 with $a_i \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$. Since $\alpha\beta(n) = n$ for $n \in Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$, $\alpha(\omega(a)) = \alpha((1,-1)\beta(a_1)(1,-1)\beta(a_2)(1,-1)...(1,-1)\beta(a_k)(1,-1)) = \alpha(\beta(a_1))\alpha(\beta(a_2))...\alpha(\beta(a_k)) = a$. For $a = (-1,1)$ then $\alpha(\omega(a)) = \alpha(1,-1) = (-1,1)$. ■

Now the heuristic description of ω can be made more precise: the final statement of the Proposition can be interpreted as $\omega(\alpha(n)) = (1)\alpha(n)(-1)$ for $n \in D_1$, so for $a \in D_0$ we obtain $\omega(a) = \omega\alpha(\omega(a)) = (1)\alpha(\omega(a))(-1) = (1)(a)(-1)$.

3 Partial orders on *-semigroups

There is a partial order on the subsemigroup of self adjoint elements D_1^{sa} of the *-semigroup D_1, which may be viewed as taking place in the more general context of the category of ordered *-semigroups $(S, \preceq)$ and their order maps. The Schwarz property for a *-map from a *-semigroup to an ordered *-semigroup is introduced, and the *-map $\alpha : D_1 \to D_1$, defined in the previous section, is shown to be an order map with the Schwarz property. A universal C*-algebra $C^*(S, \preceq)$ for a general ordered *-semigroup $(S, \preceq)$ and its order representations is introduced as an interim step towards considering, in the following section, the universal C*-algebra for a more restrictive order on *-semigroups, namely matricial orders. There are also some technical factorization results which have later use.

Definition 3.1 *An ordered *-semigroup is a *-semigroup S along with a partial order $\preceq$ on the subset of self adjoint elements S^{sa} of S satisfying $x^*ax \preceq x^*bx$ for $a \preceq b$ in S^{sa} and $x \in S$. An order map $\beta : S \to T$ of ordered *-semigroups is a *-map, therefore mapping S^{sa} to T^{sa}, that preserves the partial ordering on the selfadjoint elements. An order homomorphism $\beta : S \to T$ of ordered *-semigroups is an order map which is a semigroup homomorphism (so a *-semigroup homomorphism).*

If $(T, \preceq)$ is an ordered *-semigroup and $\beta : S \to T$ is a *-map which is a monomorphism then one can pullback the partial order on T^{sa} to a partial order, denoted $\preceq_\beta$, on S^{sa} by defining $a \preceq b$ in S^{sa} if and only if $\beta(a) \preceq \beta(b)$ in T^{sa}; with this ordering β becomes an order map. The partial orders on a *-semigroup S also possess a partial order, where $(S, \preceq_1) \preceq (S, \preceq_2)$ if the identity homomorphism $(S, \preceq_1) \to (S, \preceq_2)$ is an order map. One may also define isomorphic ordered *-semigroups via semigroup isomorphisms that are order homomorphisms in both directions.

The semigroups we consider below do not generally have a zero element, but if the *-semigroup S has a zero (or absorbing) element 0 then, since representations in C*-algebras is our focus, assume that $0 \preceq a^*a$ for all $a \in S$.

Any C*-algebra C may be viewed as an ordered *-semigroup, with multiplication as the semigroup operation, where C^{sa} has the usual partial ordering defined via the positive cone C^{+}. An order map from an ordered *-semigroup S to a C*-algebra C is an order map of S to the ordered *-semigroup of C. A *-homomorphism of C*-algebras maps positive elements to positive elements, so is necessarily an order map.

If G is a discrete group viewed as a unital *-semigroup, then the selfadjoint elements G^{sa} are those elements g with $g^{-1} = g$, i.e.., g^2 is the unit of G. A *-homomorphism of G to a C*-algebra therefore maps every element of G^{sa} to a selfadjoint unitary, and a partial order on G^{sa} may be defined by using the standard ordering on the projections which define these selfadjoint unitaries - so for example if U a selfadjoint unitary then $(U+1)/2$ is a projection. Define a partial order on G^{sa} by $a \preceq b$ in G^{sa} if and only if $ab = ba$ and $(b-a)^2 = 2(b-a)$ in the (commutative) polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[a, b] = \{n_1 + n_2a + n_3b + n_4ab \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This ensures the existence of square roots for positive elements in certain operator spaces. These conditions are equivalent to $a \preceq b$ in G^{sa} if and only if $b(1+a) = (1+a)b = (1+a)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b]$. One can check that this is a partial order on G^{sa} satisfying the conditions for an ordered semigroup $(G, \preceq)$, and that any *-homomorphism of G to a C*-algebra must be an order map on $(G, \preceq)$.

The *-semigroup A is an ordered *-semigroup. To describe a partial order on A^{sa} note that if an element (reduced word) $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_k) \in A^{sa}$ then the length $k+1$ must be even, and $n = (n_0, n_1, ..., n_l, -n_l, -n_{l-1}, ..., -n_0)$. For $n_l \geq 2$ define
$(n_0, n_1, ..., n_l, -n_l, -n_{l-1}, ..., -n_0) \preceq (n_0, n_1, ..., n_l-1, -(n_l-1), -n_{l-1}, ..., -n_0)$.
Similarly, if $n_l \leq -2$ define
$(n_0, n_1, ..., n_l, -n_l, -n_{l-1}, ..., -n_0) \preceq (n_0, n_1, ..., n_l+1, -(n_l+1), -n_{l-1}, ..., -n_0)$.
If $|n_l| = 1$ then n is actually $(n_0, n_1, ..., n_{l-1}, -n_{l-1}, ..., -n_0)$ as a reduced word, unless $n = (1, -1)$ or $(-1, 1)$. Define the partial order on A^{sa} to be the partial order generated by these relations, so for $n, m \in A^{sa}$ define $n \preceq m$ if $n = m$ or if there is a finite chain $n = n(0) \preceq n(1) \preceq ... \preceq n(k) = m$ of (reduced) words $n(i) \in A^{sa}$ with $n(i) \preceq n(i+1)$ as described above. To check that $n \preceq m$ and $m \preceq n$ implies that $n = m$ first note that if $n \preceq m$ then the length $l(m) \leq l(n)$. The symmetric assumption implies $l(n) = l(m)$, and so the length must be constant along any finite chain of reduced words leading from n to n.Write $n \prec m$ if $n \preceq m$ but $n \neq m$.

These relations restrict to A^0 . Moreover, if n, m are selfadjoint and $n \preceq m$ then an integer k which is an upper bound for the integers $\sigma_r(n)$ for all $r \geq 0$ will remain an upper bound for $\sigma_r(m)$ for all $r \geq 0$. Since $D_0 = \left\{n \in A^0 \mid \sigma_r(n) \leq 0 \text{ for all } r \geq 0\right\}$ (Proposition 2.5), and D_1 has a similar description (Remark 2.9) this partial order restricts to a partial order on each of the *-semigroups D_0 and D_1. An application of Lemma 2.2 shows that if $n \preceq m$ in D_0 or D_1 and $n \in Irr_+(A^0)$, so a generator, then $m \in Irr_+(A^0)$.

There is an alternate description of the partial ordered *-semigroup $(D_1, \preceq)$ that is better suited for describing the matrix ordering introduced in the next section, however it makes formal use of factorizations in the larger semigroup A; namely if $n \in D_1^{sa}$ then there is a reduced element $w \in A$ with $n = w^*w$, a factorization taking place in A but not necessarily in D_1. If $w \in A$ is chosen with minimal length this factorization is unique; however n can be factored as $n = w^*w$ in two different ways using reduced elements w in A. Recall that for any w in A either $w = (-1,1)w$ or $w = (1,-1)w$. If $n = w^*w$ and w is reduced with $(1,-1)w = w$ then $n = \widetilde{w}^*\widetilde{w}$ where $\widetilde{w} = (-1)w$, and (the reduced form of) $\widetilde{w}$ provides an alternate factorization of n via reduced elements of A. Similarly if w is reduced with $(-1,1)w = w$ then $n = \widetilde{w}^*\widetilde{w}$ where $\widetilde{w} = (1)w$ and (the reduced form of) $\widetilde{w}$ again provides an alternate factorization of n.

We first define a partial order on A which then restricts to a partial order on both D_0^{sa} and D_1^{sa}. Choose a factorization $n = w^*w$ with w reduced in A. If $w = (-1,1)w$ define w_+ to be a (reduced) element in A satisfying $w = (-1)w_+$, while if $w = (1,-1)w$ define w_- to be an element of A with $w = (1)w_-$. It is usually possible to chose two candidates for w_+; for example if $w = (-1,1)w$ then the element $w_+ = (1)w$ (the element $\widetilde{w}$ above) satisfies $w = (-1)w_+$, however $n = w_+^*w_+$ in this case. A similar comment holds if $(1,-1)w = w$. If $w = (-1)$ the the only choice possible for w_+ is $(1,-1)$; similarly if $w = (1)$ then $w_- = (-1,1)$ is the only possibility. Depending on which case holds $n = w_\pm^*(\pm1,\mp1)w_\pm$, define $n \preceq w_\pm^*w_\pm$. This procedure restricts to the *-subsemigroups D_0 and D_1through the characterizations of them in Proposition 2.5 and Remark 2.9 since $w_\pm^*w_\pm$ is again an element of D_i^{sa} if $n \in D_i^{sa}$. Since there are two factorizations possible for n this potentially yields four possible elements larger than or equal to n in this partial ordering, however, it is straightforward to check that at least three of these possible elements will always be the original element n of D_1^{sa} since the unique minimal length factor always appears as one of these two factoring possibilities. The reason for considering both factorizations at this point and not just the one of minimal length may not be apparent, however, for partial orderings of this nature on matrices with entries in A it becomes necessary to consider both possible factorizations (Remark 4.10). As above, the partial order on D_1^{sa} is the one generated by these relations. For n one of the two idempotents of D_1, this procedure is stationary and yields the same idempotent n. If n is not one of the two idempotents in D_1^{sa} then this process always produces an element m with $n \prec m$. One may think of this process as a hollowing out of a selfadjoint element n in D_1^{sa}.

The definition of $\preceq$ implies that $(-k,k) \preceq (-k+1,k-1) \preceq ... \preceq (-1,1)$ for $k \geq 1$. It also follows that the maximal elements $(-1,1)$ and $(1,-1)$ are upper bounds for this partial order; namely for any $n \in D_1^{sa}$ we have that either $n \preceq (-1,1)$ or $n \preceq (1,-1)$, with the former occurring exactly when $(-1,1)n = n$, and the later occurring exactly when $(1,-1)n = n$. In particular these elements are the only two maximal elements. For the *-semigroup D_0 the unit $(-1,1)$ of D_0 is the unique upper bound for this partial order. Also any two elements of D_0^{sa} must have a least upper bound, although the two elements $(-1,1)$ and $(1,-1)$ show this is not the case in D_1.

Although not needed at present, the following two results provide more detailed information on the form of selfadjoint elements of D_1, and is useful for the matricial order properties developed in the following section.

Lemma 3.2 *If* $n \in D_1^{sa}$ *then* n *has one of the forms* m^*m *or* m^*am*, where* $m \in D_1$ *if it occurs, and* $a \in Irr(D_1)^{sa}$ *if it occurs. If* n *is written to have a minimal number of irreducible factors in* D_1 *then this form is unique. If* $a = (1, -1)$ *occurs then* m*, if it occurs and is written with a minimal number of irreducible factors in* D_1*, must satisfy* $(-1, 1)m = m$*. If the term* $a = (-1, 1)$ *must occur then* m*, if it occurs, must satisfy* $(1, -1)m = m$*.*

Proof. Factorization in D_1 into irreducibles implies that $n \in D_1^{sa}$ must have the form $(1,-1)a_1^*(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_l^*(1,-1)a(1,-1)a_l(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_1(1,-1)$ uniquely as a product, where $a_i \in D_0$, $a \in Irr(D_1)^{sa}$, and where the initial and final $(1, -1)$ pair, the $(1, -1)$ pair bracketing a, as well as a itself, may or may not occur. If a occurs and is equal to $(1, -1)$ then the unique product must be $(1,-1)a_1^*(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_l^*(1,-1)a_l(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_1(1,-1)$ where the initial and final $(1, -1)$ pair either occur or not, but the $(1, -1)$ pair around a does not occur (by the minimality condition on m). In this case $m = a_l(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_1(1,-1)$, if m occurs, (perhaps without the final $(1, -1)$ term) and $(-1, 1)m = m$. If a occurs and is equal to $(-1, 1)$ then, if m occurs, the unique product must be $(1,-1)a_1^*(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_l^*(1,-1)(-1,1)(1,-1)a_l(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_1(1,-1)$ since otherwise, if the pair of interior terms $(1, -1)$ does not occur, then $n = (1,-1)a_1^*(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_l^*(-1,1)a_l(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_1(1,-1)$, which equals $(1,-1)a_1^*(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_l^*a_l(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_1(1,-1)$ since $a_l \in D_0$ and $(-1, 1)$ is a unit for D_0, contradicting the present assumption that a occurs. Thus m, if it occurs, must be $(1,-1)a_l(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_1(1,-1)$ and $(1,-1)m = m$. ■

It is now possible to compare the two differing unique ways to describe an element $n \in D_1^{sa}$, one as w^*w with $w \in A$ of minimal length, the other as in the previous lemma, using products of elements in D_1. Consider two ordered sequences, indexed by increasing $r \in \mathbb{N}$, namely the sequence $\sigma_r(w^*w)$, and the sequence $\sigma_r(m^*am)$ (or $\sigma_r(m^*m)$, depending on which case in the last lemma holds). These two sequences are generally not the same. The sequence $\sigma_r(w^*w)$ has an initial odd number of terms that occur before the (infinite) string of zero terms, so has a well defined middle term about which the sequence is symmetric. In particular the sequence $\sigma_r(w^*)$ occurs as the initial half of this sequence, ending with the term $\tau(w^*)$, which is this therefore well defined middle term. The sequence $\sigma_r(w^*w)$ is an ordered subsequence of the sequence $\sigma_r(m^*am)$, indeed the sequence $\sigma_r(m^*am)$ is also symmetric about a middle term, and only has some possible extra 0 (partial sum) terms occurring. In particular the sequence $\sigma_r(w^*)$ occurs as a subsequence of the initial half of the sequence $\sigma_r(m^*am)$. Thus $\tau(w^*)$ also occurs as the middle term in the sequence $\sigma_r(m^*am)$. By Remark 2.9, $D_1 = \left\{n \in A^0 \mid \sigma_r(n) \leq 1 \text{ for all } r \geq 0\right\}$, so $\sigma_r(w^*w) \leq 1$ for all $r \geq 0$, and therefore $\tau(w^*) \leq 1$. Note that the first half of the sequence $\sigma_r(m^*am)$ begins with the sequence $\sigma_r(m^*)$, which ends in 0 if m occurs, followed by the first half of the sequence $\sigma_r(a)$ if a occurs. These types of observations yield the following.

Proposition 3.3 *Write* $n \in D_1^{sa}$ *as* w^*w *with* $w \in A$ *reduced of minimal length, and also as* m^*m *or* m^*am*, where* $m \in D_1$ *if it occurs, has a minimal number* *of irreducible factors in* D_1*, and* $a \in Irr(D_1)^{sa}$ *if it occurs. Then* $\tau(w^*) \leq 1$*. We have the following equivalences:* $\tau(w^*) = 1$ *if and only if* $n = m^*(1,-1)m$*, where* $m = (-1,1)m$ *may not occur;* $\tau(w^*) = 0$ *if and only if* $n = m^*m$ *and* $m = (-1,1)m$*; and* $\tau(w^*) \leq -1$ *if and only if* $n = m^*am$ *with* $a \in Irr(D_0)^{sa}$*, where* m *may not occur.*

Definition 3.4 *A *-map* $\beta : S \to T$ *of a *-semigroup* S *to an ordered *-semigroup* T *is said to have the Schwarz property if it satisfies the Schwarz inequality* $\beta(a)^*\beta(a) \preceq \beta(a^*a)$ *for all* $a \in S$*.*

The composition of two order maps with the Schwarz property also has the Schwarz property. If $\gamma : S \to T$ is a *-semigroup homomorphism then γ clearly has the Schwarz property. Therefore if $\beta : R \to S$ an order map with the Schwarz property and $\gamma : S \to T$ a *-semigroup homomorphism as well as an order map, then $\gamma\beta$ is an order map with the Schwarz property. It seems possible to consider maps of *-semigroups that are not *-maps, but that still map the selfadjoint elements to selfadjoint elements, since there are straightforward examples, satisfying Schwarz or not, of such maps, however, for our present purposes this is not needed. The next Proposition also holds for the *-semigroup A, but we only make use of it for D_1.

Proposition 3.5 *With the above partial order defined on* D_1^{sa} *the *-semigroup* D_1 *is an ordered *-semigroup satisfying* $a^2 \preceq a$ *for* $a \in D_1^{sa}$*.*

Proposition 3.6 *The *-map* $\alpha : D_1 \to D_1$ *is an order map satisfying the Schwarz property:* $\alpha(a)^*\alpha(a) \preceq \alpha(a^*a)$ *for* $a \in D_1$*.*

Proof. For the final statement note that $a^*(1,-1)a \preceq a^*a$ for a in D_1, so applying α yields $\alpha(a)^*\alpha(a) = \alpha(a^*(1,-1)a) \preceq \alpha(a^*a)$. ■

Proposition 3.7 *The *-homomorphism* $\omega : D_0 \to D_1$ *is an order map of ordered *-semigroups.*

Proof. Using the viewpoint that $\omega(a) = (1)a(-1)$ for $a \in D_0$ it is clear that the basic one step relation that generates the partial order on D_0^{sa} is preserved by this map. ■

Definition 3.8 *An order representation of an ordered *-semigroup* S *is a *-homomorphism to a C*-algebra which is, in addition, an order map to this C*-algebra.*

Any order representation of an ordered *-semigroup in a C*-algebra clearly satisfies the Schwarz property.

Recall that if A and B are self adjoint elements in a C*-algebra C with $-B \leq A \leq B$ then $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$; in particular $0 \leq A \leq B$ implies $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$. Note also that if $\beta : S \to C$ is a *- homomorphism to a C*-algebra and $a \in S^{sa}$ then the element $\beta(a)$ has norm bounded by 1 if and only if $\|\beta(a^2)\| \leq \|\beta(a)\|$.

Proposition 3.9 *Let $(S, \preceq)$ be an ordered *-semigroup with $a^2 \preceq a$ for $a \in S^{sa}$, C a C*-algebra and $\beta : S \to C$ an order map. If β satisfies the Schwarz inequality $\beta(a)^*\beta(a) \le \beta(a^*a)$ for $a \in S^{sa}$ then β maps the selfadjoint elements S^{sa} to the unit ball of the positive cone of C. If in addition β satisfies the Schwarz inequality for general $a \in S$ then $\beta(S)$ is contained in the unit ball of C.*

Proof. For $a \in S^{sa}$ we have $\beta(a) \in C^{sa}$, so $0 \le \beta(a)^2 \le \beta(a^2) \le \beta(a)$ where the second inequality uses the Schwarz inequality for $a \in S^{sa}$ and the last inequality follows from the hypothesis. For $a \in S^{sa}$ the C*–norm condition implies $\|\beta(a)\|^2 = \left\|\beta(a)^2\right\| \le \|\beta(a)\|$, and so $\|\beta(a)\| \le 1$. If $a \in S$ then using the Schwarz inequality for general $a \in S$ and the C*-norm condition yields $\|\beta(a)\|^2 = \|\beta(a)^*\beta(a)\| \le \|\beta(a^*a)\| \le 1$, the last inequality following from $a^*a \in S^{sa}$. ■

Since an order representation of an ordered *-semigroup has the Schwarz property, the conclusions of this Proposition hold for all order representations of an ordered *-semigroup S with the property that $a^2 \preceq a$ for $a \in S^{sa}$, so an order representation of such an ordered *-semigroup S is automatically a contractive *-representation.

For the *-semigroup D_1 Propositions 3.5 and 3.9 imply that the image of any order representation $\sigma : D_1 \to C$ is contained in the unit ball of the positive cone of C, and similarly for the ordered unital *-semigroup D_0. If $\sigma : D_0 \to C$ is an order map to a C*-algebra C then since the unit $(-1, 1)$ of D_0 is the unique upper bound for the partial order on D_0, $0 \le \sigma(a) \le \sigma((-1, 1))$ for all $a \in D_0^{sa}$. If σ also has the Schwarz property then $0 \le \sigma(a)^*\sigma(a) \le \sigma(a^*a) \le \sigma((-1, 1))$ for all $a \in D_0$, so $\sigma((-1, 1)) = 0$ if and only if σ is 0.

For an ordered *-semigroup $(S, \preceq)$ and its order representations we introduce a universal C*-algebra $C^*(S, \preceq)$. This is a C*-algebra $C^*(S, \preceq)$ along with an order representation $\iota : S \to C^*(S, \preceq)$ satisfying the following universal property: if $\gamma : S \to B$ is an order representation to a C*-algebra B then there is a unique *-homomorphism $\pi_\gamma = \pi : C^*(S, \preceq) \to B$ such that $\pi_\gamma \circ \iota = \gamma$. That such a universal C*-algebra of a given ordered *-semigroup exists would follow if there are order representations of S and if $\sup\{\|\beta(s)\| \mid \beta$ an order representation of $S\}$ is finite for each $s \in S$. If this supremum is bounded by 1 for a particular ordered *-semigroup S it follows that $C^*(S, \preceq)$ must be a quotient of the universal C*-algebra $C^*(S)$. Differing conditions for various ordered *-semigroups S would guarantee this condition, for example, the previous Proposition shows if $a^2 \preceq a$ for $a \in S^{sa}$ then this sup is bounded by 1, so the universal C*-algebra $C^*(S, \preceq)$ exists and furthermore is a quotient of $C^*(S)$.

If $\beta : S \to T$ is an order homomorphism of ordered *-semigroups where the universal C*-algebra of the ordered *-semigroups exist then there is a *-homomorphism $\pi_\beta : C^*(S, \preceq) \to C^*(T, \preceq)$. In particular if $(S, \preceq_1) \preceq (S, \preceq_2)$ then $C^*(S, \preceq_2)$ is a quotient of $C^*(S, \preceq_1)$.

Remark 3.10 *Assume $(S, \preceq)$ is an ordered *-semigroup and that $C^*(S, \preceq)$ exits. If the canonical order representation $\iota : S \to C^*(S, \preceq)$ is a monomorphism then one can form the pullback partial order $\preceq_\iota$ on S. Since ι is an order rep-*

resentation it is clear that $(S,\preceq)\preceq(S,\preceq_\iota)$*, so* $C^*\left(S,\preceq_\iota\right)$ *(with order representation* $\iota_1:(S,\preceq_\iota)\to C^*(S,\preceq_\iota)$ *) is a quotient of* $C^*\left(S,\preceq\right)$*. However,* $a\preceq_\iota b$ *in* S^{sa} *is equivalent to* $\iota(a)\leq\iota(b)$*, which implies* $\beta(a)=\pi_\beta\iota(a)\leq\pi_\beta\iota(b)=\beta(b)$ *for all order representations* β *of* $\left(S,\preceq\right)$*. Therefore every order representation* β *of* $(S,\preceq)$ *is also an order representation of* $(S,\preceq_\iota)$*, in particular when* β *is the order representation* ι*. This yields a *-homomorphism* $\pi_\iota:C^*(S,\preceq_\iota)\to C^*(S,\preceq)$ *with* $\iota_1\pi_\iota=\iota$*, so* $C^*(S,\preceq_\iota)\cong C^*(S,\preceq)$*.*

We noted earlier, using our previous description of an ordering on a discrete group G, that any *-homomorphism of G to a C*-algebra must also be an order representation. Therefore the universal C*-algebra $C^*(G,\preceq)$ is (isomorphic to) the universal C*-algebra $C^*(G)$.

Consider the *-semigroup $\mathbb{N}$ with the partial order $n\preceq m$ if and only if $n=m$ or there is an $r\in\mathbb{N}$ with $n=m+r$. Then (using additive notation) since $a+a\preceq a$ for $a\in\mathbb{N}$ it follows that $C^*(\mathbb{N},\preceq)$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by a positive contraction, so is isomorphic to $C_0((0,1])$. With a similar partial order on $\mathbb{N}_0$ it follows that $C^*(\mathbb{N}_0,\preceq)$ is $C([0,1])$, the universal unital C*-algebra generated by a positive contraction.

Consider the ordered *-semigroup A; after noting the form of any element of A^{sa} it is clear that any *-representation of A in a C*-algebra is also an order representation of this ordered *-semigroup, so the universal C*-algebra $C^*(A,\preceq)$ is (isomorphic to) $C^*(A)$.

Since D_1, the ordered *-semigroup we are principally interested in, satisfies the order condition $a^2\preceq a$ for $a\in D_1^{sa}$ (Proposition 3.5) it follows that $C^*(D_1,\preceq)$, the universal C*-algebra for order representations of D_1, exists and is a quotient of the universal C*-algebra $C^*(D_1)$. Letting $i_1:D_1\to C^*(D_1,\preceq)$ denote the order representation of D_1 to the universal C*-algebra $C^*(D_1,\preceq)$, Proposition 1.1 implies that the *-algebra $\mathbb{C}[i_1(D_1)]=\widetilde{i_1}\mathbb{C}[D_1]$, with $\widetilde{i_1}$ the linear extension of i_1 to the *-algebra $\mathbb{C}[D_1]$, is a dense *-subalgebra of $C^*(D_1,\preceq)$.

Remark 3.11 *If* $a\in\mathbb{C}[(D_1)]$ *then* $\widetilde{i_1}(a)$ *has norm in* $C^*(D_1,\preceq)$ *equal to* $\sup\{\left\|\widetilde{\beta}(a)\right\|\mid\beta$ *an order representation of* $D_1\}$*; a similar statement holds for general ordered *-semigroups* S *whenever this sup is bounded on* S*.*

The same reasoning holds for D_0, showing that the universal C*-algebra $C^*(D_0,\preceq)$ for order representations of $(D_0,\preceq)$ exists; let $i_0:D_0\to C^*(D_0,\preceq)$ denote the canonical order representation.

Remark 3.12 *Not every contractive *-homomorphism of an ordered *-semigroup need be an order representation, so the universal C*-algebra* $C^*(S,\preceq)$ *is, in general, different from* $C^*(S)$*. Consider, for example, the unital ordered *-semigroup* D_0*. If* F *is the *-closed set* $Irr_+(A^0)\setminus(-1,1)$ *of* D_0*, then* D_0 *can be viewed as the free unital *-semigroup on this set, and any *-map of* F *to a set of elements in the unit ball of a unital C*-algebra defines a unital contractive *-homomorphism of* D_0 *to this C*-algebra that will, in general, not be an order map.*

Proposition 3.13 *Given an order representation $\sigma : D_0 \to C$ to a C*-algebra C, there is an order representation $\rho : D_1 \to C$ that extends σ.*

Proof. We may assume that C contains a nonzero projection q, since if the projection $\sigma(-1,1)$ of C is 0 then the comments following Proposition 3.9 imply $\sigma = 0$, and then $\rho = 0$ extends σ. For $a \in D_1$ write $a = (1,-1)a_1(1,-1)a_2(1,-1)...(1,-1)a_k(1,-1)$ uniquely as a product where $a_i \in D_0$ (where the initial and final $(1,-1)$ may or may not occur). Define $\rho(a) = q\sigma(a_1)q\sigma(a_2)q...q\sigma(a_k)q$ (again where the initial and final q may or may not occur). It follows from uniqueness of the product that ρ is well defined defines a *-homomorphism extending σ. Any basic step in the order relation defined on D_1 is preserved under ρ for the usual C*-order occurring in C^{sa} since, for example, $\sigma(a_k^*)q\sigma(a_k) \leq \sigma(a_k^*)\sigma(a_k)$ in C, and σ is an order map. ■

For $\eta : (D_0, \preceq) \to (D_1, \preceq)$ the natural inclusion, universality yields a *-homomorphism $\pi_\eta : C^*(D_0, \preceq) \to C^*(D_1, \preceq)$ with $\pi_\eta \circ i_0 = i_1 \circ \eta$ (where $i_k : D_k \to C^*(D_k, \preceq)$ are the canonical order representations).

Corollary 3.14 *The *-homomorphism $\pi_\eta : C^*(D_0, \preceq) \to C^*(D_1, \preceq)$ is an injection of C*-algebras.*

Proof. If a in $\mathbb{C}[D_0]^{sa}$ then (Remark 3.11) the norm $\left\|\widetilde{i_0}(a)\right\| = \sup\{\|\widetilde{\sigma}(a)\| \mid \sigma$ an order representation of $D_0\}$ where $\widetilde{}$ denotes the linear extensions to $\mathbb{C}[D_0]$. For such a σ there is, by Proposition 3.13, an order representation ρ_σ of D_1 with $\rho_\sigma \circ \eta = \sigma$. Therefore $\left\|\widetilde{i_0}(a)\right\| = \sup\{\|\widetilde{\rho_\sigma}(\widetilde{\eta}(a)\| \mid \sigma = \rho_\sigma \circ \eta$ an order representation of $D_0\} \leq \sup\{\|\widetilde{\rho}(\widetilde{\eta}(a)\| \mid \rho$ an order representation of $D_1\}$. The latter is equal to $\|\widetilde{\iota_1}(\widetilde{\eta}(a))\| = \left\|\pi_\eta(\widetilde{i_0}(a))\right\|$. Since π_η is also norm reducing, it must be an isometry on the dense *-subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[i_0(D_0)]$ of $C^*(D_0, \preceq)$. ■

4 A *semigroup matricial order

Our main interest is to extend the order on the *-semigroups considered above to a partial order on certain selfadjoint elements in matrix algebras over the semigroup. The present approach suffices for our context and provides indications of what matricial partial orders for general *-semigroups should entail; a more general approach could see future refinements as further examples are investigated.

For a *-semigroup S we introduce matricial orders as well as their associated maps on these semigroups, namely complete order maps, order representations, k-amplifications that have the Schwarz property, and completely positive maps to a C*-algebra. These lead to a universal C*-algebra $C^*((S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ for a matricially ordered *-semigroup S. With these definitions a *-homomorphism of a *-semigroup to a C*-algebra will always satisfy the Schwarz property for all k, and a *-map to a C*-algebra with the Schwarz property for all k must be completely positive definite. A completely positive definite map on a *-semigroup S to a C*-algebra C determines a right Hilbert module over C. In our setting we define a matricial order on the *-semigroup D_1, and after exploring this partial order, we show that there is a C*-correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ (Theorem 4.20) over the universal C*-algebra for complete order maps on D_1, $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$. The final part of this section involves some detailed matricial order properties to obtain Proposition 4.25, a complete order analogue of the previous extension result Proposition 3.13. In the final section these properties prove essential in identifying an isomorphic representation of the universal C*-algebra $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ (Corollary 5.12 and Corollary 5.13).

Let $(S, \preceq)$ be an ordered *-semigroup. To extend partial orders on S to matrices over S initially appears problematic since the collection of matrices over S do not inherit sufficient algebraic structure from S. However, for specific types of matrices over S this is possible. Additionally this requires considering how these specific types of matrices in $\mathrm{M}_d(S)$ may be viewed as matrices in $\mathrm{M}_k(S)$. For a given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set $\mathcal{P}(k) = \cup_{d=1}^{k} \mathcal{P}(d,k)$ where $\mathcal{P}(d,k) = \left\{ (t_1, ..., t_d) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^d \mid \sum_{r=1}^{d} t_r = k \right\}$; one can view these d-tuples as ordered partitions of k where zero summands are allowed. The set $\mathcal{P}(1,k)$ consists of the single element (k) while $(1, ..., 1)$, denoted δ, is the unique element of $\mathcal{P}(k,k)$ with nonzero entries. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, an element $(n_1, ..., n_k)$ of $\oplus^k S$ is denoted $\overrightarrow{n}$. Elements of $\mathcal{P}(d,k)$ are applied in two ways, one to view vectors $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$ as certain formal $d \times k$ matrices, and secondly as maps $\mathrm{M}_d(S) \to \mathrm{M}_k(S)$. The context should make these different uses clear. In general, for $\overrightarrow{n} = (n_1, ..., n_k) \in \oplus^k S$ and $\tau = (t_1, ..., t_d) \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$, $\overrightarrow{n}_\tau$ denotes the formal $d \times k$ matrix $\overrightarrow{n}_\tau$ where entries from S in the i-th row are the length t_i string $(n_{t_1 + ... t_{i-1} + 1}, ..., n_{t_1 + ... + t_i})$, starting with $n_{t_1 + ... t_{i-1} + 1}$ at the $t_1 + ... t_{i-1} + 1$ spot, and all other elements 0. If necessary use an indexing convention where $t_0 = 0$. Therefore, for $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$, $\overrightarrow{n}$ is also equal to the $1 \times k$ matrix $\overrightarrow{n}_{(k)}$ where $(k) \in P(1,k)$, while $\overrightarrow{n}_\delta$ is the $k \times k$ diagonal matrix with n_i in the (i,i) entry for $\delta \in \mathcal{P}(k,k)$. Each $\tau = (t_1, ..., t_d) \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$ also determines a *-map $\iota_\tau : \mathrm{M}_d(S) \to \mathrm{M}_k(S)$ of square matrices with entries in S mapping an element $[a_{i,j}] \in \mathrm{M}_d(S)$ to $\iota_\tau([a_{i,j}]) = [a_{i,j}]_\tau \in \mathrm{M}_k(S)$ where $[a_{i,j}]_\tau$ is the following matrix described via matrix blocks; the i,j block of $[a_{i,j}]_\tau$ is the $t_i \times t_j$ matrix with the constant entry $a_{i,j}$. The map ι_δ is the identity map on $\mathrm{M}_k(S)$. If $\tau = (t_1, ..., t_d) \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$ has zero entries then the map ι_τ basically first restricts to an element $\widetilde{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}(\widetilde{d}, k)$ for an appropriate $\widetilde{d} < d$, so in this case ι_τ may be viewed as a map from $\mathrm{M}_{\widetilde{d}}(S)$ to $\mathrm{M}_k(S)$.

For $[a_{i,j}] \in \mathrm{M}_d(S)$, $\overrightarrow{m}$, $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$ then the $k \times k$ matrix $\overrightarrow{m}_\tau^* \, [a_{i,j}] \, \overrightarrow{n}_\tau = \overrightarrow{m}_\delta^* \, [a_{i,j}]_\tau \, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta$; in particular $\overrightarrow{m}^* a \overrightarrow{n} = \overrightarrow{m}_\delta^* \, [a]_{(k)} \, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta = [m_i^* a n_j]$ for $a \in S = \mathrm{M}_1(S)$. Although $\overrightarrow{n}_\delta$ is generally not in $\mathrm{M}_k(S)$, the matrices $[a_{i,j}]_\tau \, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta$ and $\overrightarrow{m}_\delta^* \, [a]_{(k)} \, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta$ are.

For $(S, \preceq)$ an ordered *-semigroup and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, specify a partially ordered subset $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ of the selfadjoint $k \times k$ matrices $\mathrm{M}_k(S)^{sa}$ containing the selfadjoint elements $\overrightarrow{n}^* \overrightarrow{n} = [n_i^* n_j]$ for $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$. The partial order $\preceq$ on $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ satisfies whenever $[a_{i,j}] \preceq [b_{i,j}]$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ and $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$ then $\overrightarrow{n}_\delta^* \, [a_{i,j}] \, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta \preceq \overrightarrow{n}_\delta^* \, [b_{i,j}] \, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta$ for all $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$. This implicitly requires that $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ is closed under conjugation by the elements $\overrightarrow{n}_\delta$. The collection of partially ordered sets $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ are knit together by requiring that the *-maps ι_τ sending $[a_{i,j}]$ to $[a_{i,j}]_\tau$ restrict to order maps $\mathcal{M}_d(S) \to \mathcal{M}_k(S)$ for $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$. For $k = 1$ define $\mathcal{M}_k(S) = S^{sa}$. If S is an ordered *-semigroup along with such a specified sequence of partially ordered sets $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, say S is matricially ordered, and write $(S, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$.

For C a C*-algebra set $\mathcal{M}_k(C)$ to be the usual partially ordered set $\mathrm{M}_k(C)^{sa}$. To see that $\mathcal{M}_k(C)$ satisfies the requirements first note that the maps $\iota_\tau : \mathcal{M}_d(C) \to \mathcal{M}_k(C)$ are linear. Consequently to see these maps are positive it suffices that ι_τ maps the positive cone $\mathrm{M}_d(C)^+$ to $\mathrm{M}_k(C)^+$. This is clear from the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let S be a *-semigroup, $\tau = (t_1, ..., t_d) \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$ and $[b_{i,j}]$ a $r \times d$ matrix with entries in S. There is an $r \times k$ matrix $[c_{i,j}]$, with entries elements of S appearing in $[b_{i,j}]$, such that $\iota_\tau([b_{i,j}]^* [b_{i,j}]) = [c_{i,j}]^* [c_{i,j}]$. In particular if $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^d S$ then $\iota_\tau(\overrightarrow{n}^* \overrightarrow{n}) = \overrightarrow{m}^* \overrightarrow{m}$ with $\overrightarrow{m} \in \oplus^k S$; the entries of $\overrightarrow{m}$ appear in $\overrightarrow{n}$.*

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq r$ let the $r \times k$ matrix $[c_{i,j}]$ have i-th row $(b_{i1}, ..., b_{i1}, b_{i2}, ..., b_{i2}, ..., b_{id}, ..., b_{id})$ where each element b_{ij} appears repeated t_j consecutive times. In particular if $[b_{i,j}]$ is $\overrightarrow{n}$ for some $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^d S$ then $\iota_\tau(\overrightarrow{n}^* \overrightarrow{n}) = \iota_\tau([n_i^* n_j]) = \overrightarrow{m}^* \overrightarrow{m}$ with $\overrightarrow{m} = (n_1, ..., n_1, ..., n_d, ..., n_d) \in \oplus^k S$, each n_j repeated t_j times. ■

If $\beta : S \to T$ is a *-map of *-semigroups (not necessarily a homomorphism) then the k-amplification $\beta_k : \mathrm{M}_k(S) \to \mathrm{M}_k(T)$ is defined by $\beta_k[n_{i,j}] = [\beta(n_{i,j})]$. When convenient interpret $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{n}) = \overrightarrow{\beta(n)}$ in this sense also. The maps β_k behave well with the maps ι_τ, so $\beta_k \circ \iota_\tau = \iota_\tau \circ \beta_d$ for $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$. Note that, depending on how the sets $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k(T)$ are defined, this may not define a map $\beta_k : \mathcal{M}_k(S) \to \mathcal{M}_k(T)$, however if $\beta : S \to C$ is a *-map to a C*-algebra C then $\beta_k : \mathcal{M}_k(S) \to \mathcal{M}_k(C)$ is always defined.

Definition 4.2 *A *-map $\beta : S \to T$ of matricially ordered *-semigroups S and T is called a k-order map if $\beta_k : \mathcal{M}_k(S) \to \mathcal{M}_k(T)$ is defined, and if this is an order map of partially ordered sets. The map β is a complete order map if β is a k-order map for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If T is a C*-algebra, a complete order map β which is a representation, so an order representation, is called a complete order representation.*

If $\beta : B \to C$ is a *-homomorphism of C*-algebras then β is a complete order representation, and any completely positive map of C*-algebras is a complete order map.

Definition 4.3 *For a *-map $\beta : S \to T$ of a *-semigroup S to a matricially ordered *-semigroup T the map β_k is said to have the Schwarz property, or β has the Schwarz property for k, if $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{n})^* \beta_k(\overrightarrow{n}) \preceq \beta_k(\overrightarrow{n}^* \overrightarrow{n})$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(T)$ for $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$, where $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{n})^* \beta_k(\overrightarrow{n})$ denotes the selfadjoint element $[\beta(n_i)^* \beta(n_j)]$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(T)$.*

A composition of k-order maps of matricially ordered *-semigroups is again a k-order map as long as the composition is defined, so a composition of complete order maps is a complete order map. If $\beta : R \to S$ and $\alpha : S \to T$ are *-maps of *-semigroups having the Schwarz property for k and α is a k-order map, then their composition $\alpha \circ \beta$ also has the Schwarz property for k. If $\sigma : S \to T$ is a *-homomorphism of *-semigroups, then since $\sigma_k(\overrightarrow{n})^*\sigma_k(\overrightarrow{n}) = \sigma_k(\overrightarrow{n}^*\overrightarrow{n})$ for $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$, the map $\sigma_k : \mathcal{M}_k(S) \to \mathcal{M}_k(T)$ (if defined) automatically has the Schwarz property. Therefore, if $\beta : R \to S$ is a k-order map with the Schwarz property and $\sigma : S \to T$ is a *-semigroup homomorphism as well as a k-order map, then $\sigma\beta$ is a k-order map with the Schwarz property for k.

Definition 4.4 *A *-map β from a *-semigroup S to a C*-algebra C is completely positive definite if given any finite set of elements $n_1, ..., n_k$ of S then the matrix $[\beta(n_i^* n_j)]$ is positive in $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$.*

If β is *-map of a *-semigroup S to a C*-algebra C with β_k having the Schwarz property for all k, then since $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{n})^*\beta_k(\overrightarrow{n})$ is positive in the C*-algebra $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$, the matrix $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{n}^*\overrightarrow{n}) = [\beta(n_i^* n_j)]$ must also be positive in $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$, and therefore β is a completely positive definite map of the *-semigroup S. In particular, if β is a *-homomorphism of a *-semigroup S to a C*-algebra C, so also has the Schwarz property for all k, it must be completely positive definite. These completely positive definite maps enable the construction (Lemma 4.6) of Hilbert modules $\mathcal{E}_C$ over the C*-algebra C.

Remark 4.5 *If a map $\beta : S \to C$ from a *-semigroup S to a C*-algebra C has the form $\beta = \rho\gamma$, where γ is a contractive *-representation of S in the C*-algebra C and $\rho : C \to C$ is a completely positive map of C*-algebras, then β must be a completely positive definite map. This is clear since the matrix $[\beta(n_i^* n_j)] = [\rho\gamma(n_i^* n_j)] = \rho^{(k)}(\overrightarrow{\gamma(n)}^*\overrightarrow{\gamma(n)})$ where $\overrightarrow{\gamma(n)} = (\gamma n_1, ..., \gamma n_k)$.*

For a given completely positive definite map $\beta : S \to C$ from a *-semigroup S into a C*-algebra C set X to be the algebraic tensor product of complex vector spaces $\mathbb{C}[S] \otimes_{alg} C$. For two simple tensors $x = s \otimes c$, $y = t \otimes d$, with $s, t \in S, c, d$ in C, $(i = 1, 2)$ define $\langle x, y\rangle \in C$ by $\langle c, \beta(s^*t)d\rangle = c^*\beta(s^*t)d$ and extend to a sesqui-linear C-valued map on $X \times X$. The following proof uses a standard approach for establishing Stinespring's dilation theorem (cf. [P]).

Lemma 4.6 *For a C*-algebra C and $\beta : S \to C$ a completely positive definite map on a *-semigroup S let $X = \mathbb{C}[S] \otimes_{alg} C$ equipped with the sesqui-linear C-valued map $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $X \times X$ defined, as above, through β. Then $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ in C, $(x \in X)$.*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \otimes_{alg} c_i \in X$ where $s_i \in S$ and $c_i \in C$. Compute $\langle x, x\rangle = \sum \langle c_i, \beta(s_i^* s_j)c_j\rangle = \sum c_i^*\beta(s_i^* s_j)c_j = \langle \overrightarrow{c}, T\overrightarrow{c}\rangle$ where T is the positive matrix $[\beta(s_i^* s_j)]$ in $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$ and $\overrightarrow{c}$ is the vector $(c_1, ..., c_k)$ in the Hilbert C-module $\oplus_1^k C$. The latter inner product in this Hilbert C-module is equal to $\left\langle \sqrt{T}c, \sqrt{T}c \right\rangle$, which is positive in C ([L], Lemma 4.1). ■

For $x \in X$ and $d \in C$ we have $\langle xd, xd\rangle = d^* \langle x, x\rangle d \leq \|\langle x, x\rangle\| d^*d$ (cf. [L]), so if $x \in N = \{x \in X \mid \langle x, x\rangle = 0\}$, or if $d = 0$, then $xd \in N$. In particular this allows the right action of C on X to become a well defined right action of C on the quotient space X/N by setting $\overline{x}d = \overline{xd}$ for $\overline{x} \in X/N$ and $d \in C$, where $\overline{x}$ denotes the class of x in X/N. The quotient space X/N is now an inner product C-module, and its completion $\mathcal{E}_C$ becomes a right Hilbert C-module (cf. [L]).

Since $S\mathbb{C}[S] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[S]$, it is clear that there is a left action of S on X, so $SX \subseteq X$. For $x \in X$ and $s \in S$ the Cauchy Schwarz inequality ([L] Proposition 1.1) for the semi-inner product on X shows $\langle sx, sx\rangle = \langle s^*sx, x\rangle \leq \langle s^*sx, s^*sx\rangle^{1/2} \langle x, x\rangle^{1/2}$ in C, thus if $x \in N$ then $sx \in N$. This yields a well defined left action of S, and therefore also of $\mathbb{C}[S]$, on the inner product C-module X/N which is actually a pre-*-representation (borrowing terminology from [F]) on the pre-Hilbert module X/N. If X/N is already complete, for example if it is finite dimensional, or if this left action is by bounded operators on X/N, so extendable to an action on $\mathcal{E}_C$, there is a *-representation of S by adjointable operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_C)$ which, however, is not a priori contractive.

Thus a completely positive definite map β on a *-semigroup S implies the existence of an associated Hilbert module, along with a *-action of the semigroup on a dense submodule. As there are examples of adjointable operators on dense submodules of a Hilbert module which are not bounded, β does not a priory seem to yield a bounded, let alone a contractive, *-representation of the semigroup in this module without some additional structural conditions. Making use of a matricial partial order on a *-semigroup S is one approach to naturally include some necessary structure. The following Proposition describes conditions for uniqueness of factorization, allowing for an approach to defining matricial partial orders on the semigroups under consideration.

Proposition 4.7 *Let* $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_k(A)$ *with* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ *where* $\overrightarrow{w}$, $\overrightarrow{v} \in \oplus^k A$ *with reduced components in A. If* $\overrightarrow{w}$ *satisfies one of the conditions* $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ *or* $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ *then* $\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{w}$*, or if* $\overrightarrow{v} \neq \overrightarrow{w}$ *then* $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *if* $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$*, or* $\overrightarrow{v} = (-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *if* $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$*. In particular,* $\overrightarrow{v}$ *must also then satisfy one of the conditions* $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}$ *or* $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}$*. If* $\overrightarrow{w}$ *does not satisfy one of the two conditions* $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ *or* $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ *then* $\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{w}$*.*

Proof. Write $\overrightarrow{w} = (w_1, ...w_k)$ and $\overrightarrow{v} = (v_1, ...v_k) \in \oplus^k A$ with $w_i = (n_{i,0}, ..., n_{i,h_i})$ and $v_i = (m_{i,0}, ..., m_{i,l_i})$ reduced in A. With l the length function on A, note that $l(w_i^*w_i)$ is either $2l(w_i)$ or $2l(w_i) - 2$, the latter occurring exactly when $l(w_i) \geq 2$ and $|n_{i,0}| = 1$. Similarly for v_i. Since $v_i^*v_i = w_i^*w_i$ for all i, it follows that for each i one of following three possibilities involving $l(v_i)$ and $l(w_i)$ must hold; $l(v_i) = l(w_i) - 1$, occurring exactly if $l(w_i) \geq 2$ and $|n_{i,0}| = 1$, $l(w_i) = l(v_i) - 1$, occurring exactly if $l(v_i) \geq 2$ and $|m_{i,0}| = 1$, or $l(v_i) = l(w_i)$. Again, since $v_i^*v_i = w_i^*w_i$, it then follows that for each i one of the following three must hold: $w_i = (n_{i,0})(v_i)$, which occurs exactly if $|n_{i,0}| = 1$; $v_i = (m_{i,0})w_i$, which occurs exactly if $|m_{i,0}| = 1$; or $v_i = w_i$.

For now assume that $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, so $n_{i,0} \leq -1$ for all i; the case with $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ is similar. By the final sentence of the last paragraph, whenever $v_j \neq w_j$ then either $w_j = (n_{j,0})(v_j) = (-1)(v_j)$, or $v_j = (m_{j,0})w_j = (1)w_j$. Note that if $w_j = (-1)(v_j)$ then it must be the case that $m_{j,0} \geq 1$, since if $m_{j,0} \leq -1$ then $n_{j,0} < m_{j,0} \leq -1$ contradicting $v_j^* v_j = w_j^* w_j$. Suppose $v_i = w_i$ for some i, and $v_j \neq w_j$ for some $j \neq i$. If, for example, $v_j = (1)w_j$ then $m_{i,0} = 1$ and $w_j^* w_i = n_{ji} = v_j^* v_i = w_j^*(-1)w_i$, which cannot be the case as both $n_{i,0}$ and $n_{j,0} \leq -1$. On the other hand, if $w_j = (-1)(v_j)$ then we have already noted $m_{j,0} \geq 1$. Therefore $v_j^* v_i = n_{ji} = w_j^* w_i = v_j^*(1)v_i$, which cannot be the case as $m_{i,0}$ (which $= n_{i,0}$) and $-m_{j,0}$ are both ≤ -1. Thus either $\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{w}$, or for each i then $w_i = (-1)(v_i)$ (with $m_{i,0} \geq 1$) or $v_i = (1)w_i$ (which also shows $m_{i,0} \geq 1$). Therefore, if $\overrightarrow{v} \neq \overrightarrow{w}$ we must have $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}$.

Next we show that if $\overrightarrow{v} \neq \overrightarrow{w}$ then $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$. Consider those possible j with $w_j = (-1)(v_j)$. We already know that $m_{j,0} \geq 1$. If $m_{j,0} = 1$ then, since w_j is reduced, v_j must be (1) and so $w_j = (-1, 1)$. Thus $v_j = (1) = (1)w_j$. It follows that if $\overrightarrow{v} \neq \overrightarrow{w}$ then $v_j = (1)w_j$ for every j, and $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$.

It remains to consider the situation when $\overrightarrow{w}$ does not satisfy either of the conditions, which necessitates that $k \geq 2$. Assume $\overrightarrow{v} \neq \overrightarrow{w}$, so there is a j with $v_j \neq w_j$. Since $\overrightarrow{w}$ does not satisfy either condition the sign of $n_{i,0}$ is not constant over i, so if $n_{j,0} \leq -1$ say, then there is a g with $n_{g,0} \geq 1$. Since $v_j \neq w_j$ then $(w_j) \neq l(v_j)$ and the first paragraph implies that either $w_j = (-1)(v_j)$ with $m_{j,0} \geq 1$, or $v_j = (1)w_j$ (Note that $v_j = (-1)w_j$ is not a possibility since $l(w_j) \neq l(v_j)$.). For each one of these two possibilities for the j coordinate, there are the three possibilities for the g coordinate: $w_g = v_g$; $w_g = (1)(v_g)$ with $m_{g,0} \leq -1$ (since $n_{g,0} \geq 1$); $v_g = (-1)w_g$. Considering the (j, g) coordinate of the matrix $\mathbf{n}$, so $w_j^* w_g = n_{jg} = v_j^* v_g$, we see that none of these cases are possible. For example, if $w_g = v_g$ then $v_j^* v_g = v_j^*(1)v_g$ when $w_j = (-1)(v_j)$, or $w_j^* w_g = w_j^*(-1)w_g$ when $v_g = (-1)w_g$, both not possible. The other cases are similarly not possible: thus $\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{w}$. ■

We are now in a position to specify matricial orders $(D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_k)$ for the ordered *-semigroup D_1. This is approached by first specifying A as a matricially ordered *-semigroup, and then restricting the partial order on the selfadjoint elements $\mathcal{M}_k(A)$ of $\mathrm{M}_k(A)$ to $\mathcal{M}_k(A) \cap \mathrm{M}_k(D_1)$. Define the set $\mathcal{M}_k(A) = \{\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \mid \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A,\ \overrightarrow{w} \text{ with reduced components in } A\}$. Proposition 4.7 provides conditions that yield two such factorizations $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ of an element $\mathbf{n}$ of $\mathcal{M}_k(A)$, otherwise the factorization is unique. Suppose $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ is a factorization of an element $\mathbf{n}$ of $\mathcal{M}_k(A)$ with each component of $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ a reduced word in A. There are two cases we consider; the case where $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, and the case $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$. Consider the first case $(-1,1)w_i = w_i$ for all i; define $\overrightarrow{w}_+$ to be an element of $\oplus^k A$ whose components are reduced in A such that $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1)\overrightarrow{w}_+$. Note that if $w_i = (-1)$ for some i then, as before, the only possibility is to set $(w_i)_+ = (1, -1) = (1)w_i$. The element $(w_i)_+ = (1)w_i$ (reduced) is in fact always a possible choice for $(w_i)_+$ since $w_i = (-1)(w_i)_+$ is satisfied under our assumption that $(-1,1)w_i = w_i$. For this latter choice of $(w_i)_+$ we have $(w_i)_+^*(w_i)_+ = w_i^* w_i$. However, in this case, so if the choice $(w_i)_+ = (1)w_i$ is made for all i, then $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}_+^*\overrightarrow{w}_+$ and one just obtains the only other possible factorization of $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ where $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$ and $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}$ (Proposition 4.7). Generally there are many possible choices for an element $\overrightarrow{w}_+ \in \oplus^k A$, since for each i there are two choices, so there is some leeway in the choice of element $(w_i)_+$. However there is a canonical 'extreme' choice for $\overrightarrow{w}_+$, where for each i the choice $(w_i)_+$ satisfies $w_i^* w_i \prec (w_i)_+^*(w_i)_+$ whenever $w_i \neq (-1, ..)$ as a reduced word in A. If this is not possible for a particular w_i, necessarily of the form $(-1, ...)$, then a choice of $(w_i)_+$ must satisfy $w_i^* w_i = (w_i)_+^*(w_i)_+$. Refer to the resulting $\overrightarrow{w}_+$, when this extreme choice for each of the components $(w_i)_+$ is made whenever possible, as the 'extreme' $\overrightarrow{w}_+$. Now consider the second case, so $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$; then choose any $\overrightarrow{w}_- \in \oplus^k A$ with $\overrightarrow{w} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}_-$. Again, if $w_i = (1)$ for some i then set $(w_i)_- = (-1, 1)$. As before, the element $(w_i)_- = (-1)w_i$ (reduced) is in fact always a possible choice for $(w_i)_-$ since $w_i = (1)(w_i)_-$ is satisfied under our assumption that $(1, -1)w_i = w_i$. Again, for this latter choice of $(w_i)_-$ we have $(w_i)_-^*(w_i)_- = w_i^* w_i$. Depending on which situation is considered, so $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ or $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, the matrix $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}_\pm^*(\pm 1, \mp 1)\overrightarrow{w}_\pm$; define $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \preceq \overrightarrow{w}_\pm^*\overrightarrow{w}_\pm$. Proposition 4.7 shows that if $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ and the condition, $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ or $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, is satisfied then it is always possible to write down a second alternate factorization of $\mathbf{n}$ where the other condition holds; so if for example $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ then $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ with $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}$ where $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$. If neither of the two conditions on $\overrightarrow{w}$, $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ nor $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, is satisfied then Proposition 4.7 shows that the matrix $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ has a unique factorization, and so no possible factorizations satisfying these conditions; in this case $\mathbf{n}$ is defined to be a maximal element in the partial order.

The partial order on $\mathcal{M}_k(A)$ is defined to be the partial order generated by these basic relations. If for example $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ with $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ then choosing $(w_i)_+ = (1)w_i$ for some i but not all i will generally ensure that neither $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w}_+ = \overrightarrow{w}_+$ nor $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w}_+ = \overrightarrow{w}_+$ is satisfied, so the matrix $\overrightarrow{w}_+^*\overrightarrow{w}_+$ is therefore maximal in the partial order on $\mathcal{M}_k(A)$. For $k \geq 2$, it is straightforward that there therefore are an infinite number of maximal elements in the matricial partial ordering on $\mathcal{M}_k(A)$, pointing to immediate structural differences with the partial ordering on A, which has exactly two maximal elements. Write $\mathbf{n} \prec \mathbf{m}$ if $\mathbf{n} \preceq \mathbf{m}$ but $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{m}$.

Proposition 4.8 *The *-semigroup A with the partially ordered sets $\mathcal{M}_k(A) = \{\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \mid \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A\}$ is a matricially ordered *-semigroup $(S, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. To show that $\overrightarrow{n}_\delta^*\,[a_{i,j}]\,\overrightarrow{n}_\delta \preceq \overrightarrow{n}_\delta^*\,[b_{i,j}]\,\overrightarrow{n}_\delta$ whenever $[a_{i,j}] \preceq [b_{i,j}]$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(A)$ and $\overrightarrow{n} = (n_1, ..., n_k) \in \oplus^k A$ it is enough to assume $[a_{i,j}] = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$, where $\overrightarrow{w} = (w_1, ..., w_k) \in \oplus^k A$ with each w_i a reduced word and, for example, $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ and $[b_{i,j}] = \overrightarrow{w}_+^*\overrightarrow{w}_+$. Define $\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, ..., m_k) \in \oplus^k A$ by $\overrightarrow{m} = \overrightarrow{w}\,\overrightarrow{n}$, so the obvious product element $(w_1 n_1, ..., w_k n_k)$ in the *-semigroup $\oplus^k A$. Then $\overrightarrow{m}^*\overrightarrow{m} = \overrightarrow{n}_\delta^*(\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w})\overrightarrow{n}_\delta = \overrightarrow{n}_\delta^*\,[a_{i,j}]\,\overrightarrow{n}_\delta$. We have $(m_i)_+ = (w_i n_i)_+ = (w_i)_+ n_i$, where if w_{i+} was chosen to be $(1, w_i)$ then to be consistent set $(w_i n_i)_+ = (1, w_i n_i)$. In particular, if $w_i = (-1)$ then $(w_i)_+$ must be $(1, -1) = (1, w_i)$, so set $(m_i)_+ = (1, m_i)$. Then $\overrightarrow{n}_\delta^*\,[a_{i,j}]\,\overrightarrow{n}_\delta = \overrightarrow{m}^*\overrightarrow{m} \preceq \overrightarrow{m}_+^*\overrightarrow{m}_+ = ((\overrightarrow{w})_+\overrightarrow{n})^*(\overrightarrow{w})_+\overrightarrow{n} = \overrightarrow{n}_\delta^*\,[b_{i,j}]\,\overrightarrow{n}_\delta$.

That the maps $i_\tau : \mathcal{M}_d(A) \to \mathcal{M}_k(A)$ are order maps for $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(d, k)$ follows from Lemma 4.1 with $r = 1$. ■

For the *-subsemigroup D_1 define $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1) = \mathcal{M}_k(A) \cap \mathrm{M}_k(D_1)$, so $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1) = \{\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} \mid \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A,\ w_i^* w_j \in D_1 \text{ for all } i, j\}$. If the matrix $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ then $\overrightarrow{w}^*_{\pm} \overrightarrow{w}_{\pm} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ since, for example, the characterization of D_1 in Remark 2.9 provides a check that each of the entries $(w_i)^*_{\pm}(w_j)_{\pm} \in D_1$ if the entries $(w_i)^*(w_j) \in D_1$.

Corollary 4.9 *The *-semigroupD_1 with the partially ordered sets* $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1) = \{\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} \mid \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A,\ w_i^* w_j \in D_1 \textit{ for all } i, j\}$ *is a matricially ordered *-semigroup* $(D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$.

The process for the partial ordering may yield different possible elements larger than or equal to $\mathbf{n}$. In contrast to the situation for $k = 1$, two different maximal elements, each not equal to the original original matrix, actually may occur, as the following Example 4.10 shows.

Example 4.10 *To provide a concrete illustration of this partial order on* $\mathcal{M}_2(D_1)$ *consider the matrix* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$ *where* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon} = ((-2, 3), (-3, 4)) \in \oplus^2 A$. *The various choices in forming an element* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}_+$ *yield several elements in* $\mathcal{M}_2(D_1)$ *strictly larger than* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$, *two of which are maximal in the partial order. With* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}_+ = ((-1, 3), (-2, 4))$ *the resultant matrix* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^*_+ \overrightarrow{\upsilon}_+$ *is not maximal in the partial order, while choosing* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}_+ = ((-1, 3), (1, -3, 4))$ *or* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}_+ = ((1, -2, 3), (-2, 4))$ *one obtains two different maximal elements larger than* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$. *All of these matrices are actually in* $\mathrm{M}_2(Irr(D_0))^{sa}$, *so the set* $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ *properly contains the matrices* $\overrightarrow{n}^* \overrightarrow{n} = [n_i^* n_j]$ *and* $\overrightarrow{n}^* a \overrightarrow{n} = [n_i^* a n_j]$ *for* $a \in D_0^{sa}$ *and* $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k D_0$. *The other factorization of* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$ *using the vector* $(1)\overrightarrow{\upsilon}$ *(cf., Proposition 4.7) does not yield any other matrices immediately larger than* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$. *It is possible however that the two factorizations of an element of* $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ *of the form* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$ *may lead to different elements larger than, but not equal to* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$. *For example, the elements* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon} = ((-1, 2, -5, 6), (-3, 5)) \in \oplus^2 A$ *and* $(1)\overrightarrow{\upsilon} = ((2, -5, 6), (1, -3, 5)) \in \oplus^2 A$ *both yield the same matrix* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon} \in \mathrm{M}_2(Irr(D_0))^{sa}$. *The partial order process applied to these two factorizations yield two different matrices in* $\mathcal{M}_2(D_0)$, *both larger than, but not equal to, the given matrix* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$, *and both these larger matrices are maximal with respect to the partial order. For example, using the factorization* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$ *we have* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon} \preceq \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}$, *where* $\overrightarrow{w} = ((2, -5, 6), (-2, 5)) \in \oplus^2 A$ *does not satisfy either* $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ *or* $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$. *We also have* $\overrightarrow{\upsilon}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon} \preceq \overrightarrow{\nu}^* \overrightarrow{\nu}$, *where* $\overrightarrow{\nu} = ((1, -5, 6), (-3, 5)) \in \oplus^2 A$. *Note that these matrices are also in* $\mathrm{M}_2(Irr(D_0))^{sa}$.

The example above is an illustration of the following structure for the partial order defined on $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$.

Proposition 4.11 *Let* $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ *and consider two different factorizations* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}$ *and* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{\nu}^* \overrightarrow{\upsilon}$ *where* $\overrightarrow{w}, \overrightarrow{\nu} \in \oplus^k A$, $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ *and* $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{\nu} = \overrightarrow{\nu}$. *With* $\overrightarrow{w}_+$ *and* $\overrightarrow{\nu}_-$ *the extreme choices one of the following holds:* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*_+ \overrightarrow{w}_+$ *or* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{\nu}^*_- \overrightarrow{\nu}_-$; *both* $\overrightarrow{w}^*_+ \overrightarrow{w}_+$ *and* $\overrightarrow{\nu}^*_- \overrightarrow{\nu}_-$ *are distinct maximal elements, therefore strictly larger than* $\mathbf{n}$ *in the partial order* $(D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_k)$. *The latter can only occur if* $k \geq 2$.

Proof. Write $\overrightarrow{w} = (w_1, ...w_k)$ and $\overrightarrow{v} = (v_1, ...v_k) \in \oplus^k A$ with $w_i = (n_{i,0}, ..., n_{i,h_i})$ and $v_i = (m_{i,0}, ..., m_{i,l_i})$ reduced in A. The hypotheses imply that for all i each first entry of w_i, $n_{i,0} \leq -1$, while for v_i, $m_{i,0} \geq 1$. If the first entry of w_i, $n_{i,0} = -1$ for all i, then $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1)(n_{i,1}, ..., n_{i,h_i})$, which is also $(-1)\overrightarrow{w}_+$ by definition. Thus $\mathbf{n} = [w_i^* w_j] = [w_{+i}^*(1,-1)w_{+j}] = [w_{+i}^* w_{+j}] = \overrightarrow{w}_+^* \overrightarrow{w}_+$. Note that here $\overrightarrow{w}_+$ satisfies $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{w}_+ = \overrightarrow{w}_+$ since $n_{i,1} \geq 1$ for all i. The situation with each first entry of $v_i = 1$ follows similarly. Note if each $w_i = (-1)$ then $v_i = (1,-1)$ (similarly if each $v_i = (1)$ then $w_i = (-1,1)$) for all i.

Proposition 4.7 applied to the hypothesis implies $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$ and $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1)\overrightarrow{v}$. If the first entries of w_i, $n_{i,0} \leq -2$ for all i, then $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$ implies that the first entries $m_{i,0}$ of v_i all equal 1, a case already dealt with. We have reduced to the case, for $k \geq 2$, that there are i and j with $n_{i,0} = -1$, and $n_{j,0} \leq -2$. Thus the i-th coordinate of $\overrightarrow{w}_+$ is positive while the j-th coordinate of $\overrightarrow{w}_+$ is negative, so Proposition 4.7 implies there is no factorization of $\overrightarrow{w}_+^* \overrightarrow{w}_+$ satisfying either condition necessary to implement a basic step in the partial ordering, and therefore $\overrightarrow{w}_+^* \overrightarrow{w}_+$ is a maximal element. Similarly the alternate factorization $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$ yields a second maximal element $\overrightarrow{v}_-^* \overrightarrow{v}_-$ strictly larger than $\mathbf{n}$. By considering the i and j elements of $\overrightarrow{w}_+$ and $\overrightarrow{v}_-$ one can check that $\overrightarrow{w}_+^* \overrightarrow{w}_+$ and $\overrightarrow{v}_-^* \overrightarrow{v}_-$ have different (i,j) entries, so are distinct. ■

Some further structure for the partial order on $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ is readily available, namely there are at least two elements in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ immediately less than any given element.

Proposition 4.12 *Let* $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] = \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ *where* $\overrightarrow{v} \in \oplus^k A$. *There are exactly two elements* $\overrightarrow{a}^* \overrightarrow{a}$ *and* $\overrightarrow{b}^* \overrightarrow{b} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ *with* $\overrightarrow{a}^* \overrightarrow{a} \prec \mathbf{n}$, $\overrightarrow{b}^* \overrightarrow{b} \prec \mathbf{n}$, *and where* $\overrightarrow{a}_+$ *and* $\overrightarrow{b}_-$ *are choices with* $\overrightarrow{a}_+^* \overrightarrow{a}_+ = \overrightarrow{b}_-^* \overrightarrow{b}_- = \mathbf{n}$.

Proof. To form $\overrightarrow{a}_+$ and $\overrightarrow{b}_-$ one implicitly assumes $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{a} = \overrightarrow{a}$, $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{b} = \overrightarrow{b}$. Let $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1)\overrightarrow{v}$, so $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$. If $\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} \neq \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$ set $\overrightarrow{a} = \overrightarrow{w}$. With $\overrightarrow{a}_+$ defined by $\overrightarrow{a} = (-1)\overrightarrow{a}_+$ we have $\overrightarrow{a}_+ = \overrightarrow{v}$ is a choice for $\overrightarrow{a}_+$ and $\overrightarrow{a}_+^* \overrightarrow{a}_+ = \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$. If $\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$ set $\overrightarrow{a} = (-2)\overrightarrow{v}$. Then $\overrightarrow{a}_+ = (-1)\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{w}$ is a valid choice for $\overrightarrow{a}_+$ and $\overrightarrow{a}_+^* \overrightarrow{a}_+ = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$. The element $\overrightarrow{a}$ satisfies $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{a} = \overrightarrow{a}$.

To obtain a second element $\overrightarrow{b}^* \overrightarrow{b} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ set $\overrightarrow{u} = (1)\overrightarrow{v}$, so $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{u} = \overrightarrow{u}$. Following a similar argument as above set $\overrightarrow{b} = \overrightarrow{u}$ if $\overrightarrow{u}^* \overrightarrow{u} \neq \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$, or $\overrightarrow{b} = (2)\overrightarrow{v}$ if $\overrightarrow{u}^* \overrightarrow{u} = \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$. Then $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{b} = \overrightarrow{b}$ and $\overrightarrow{b}^* \overrightarrow{b} \prec \mathbf{n}$, $\overrightarrow{b}_-^* \overrightarrow{b}_- = \mathbf{n}$.

Note that if $\mathbf{n}$ had two differing factorizations, where the precise conditions of this are detailed in Proposition 4.7, then for each of these two factorizations the two elements obtained in the manner described above eventually result in the same set of two elements. Also, using Proposition 4.7 for the various scenarios implies $\overrightarrow{a}^* \overrightarrow{a} \neq \overrightarrow{b}^* \overrightarrow{b}$.

To show that there are exactly two such elements, assume, for example, that $\overrightarrow{c} \in \oplus^k A$ with $\overrightarrow{c}^* \overrightarrow{c} \prec \mathbf{n}$ and $\overrightarrow{c}_+^* \overrightarrow{c}_+ = \mathbf{n}$. By definition $\overrightarrow{c} = (-1)\overrightarrow{c}_+$ for any choice of $\overrightarrow{c}_+$. Since $\overrightarrow{a}_+^* \overrightarrow{a}_+ = \mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{c}_+^* \overrightarrow{c}_+$, by considering cases, including the possibility that $\mathbf{n}$ has two factorizations by reduced words $\oplus^k A$, Proposition

4.7 implies that $\overrightarrow{a}_+ = \overrightarrow{c}_+$, so $\overrightarrow{a} = (-1)\overrightarrow{a}_+ = (-1)\overrightarrow{c}_+ = \overrightarrow{c}$ and therefore $\overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a} = \overrightarrow{c}^*\overrightarrow{c}$. To illustrate the argument in one case; if $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{a}_+ = \overrightarrow{a}_+$ but $\overrightarrow{a}_+ \neq \overrightarrow{c}_+$ then Proposition 4.7 implies $\overrightarrow{c}_+ = (1)\overrightarrow{a}_+$. Thus $\overrightarrow{c} = (-1)\overrightarrow{c}_+ = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{a}_+ = \overrightarrow{a}_+$ and $\overrightarrow{c}^*\overrightarrow{c} = \overrightarrow{a}_+^*\overrightarrow{a}_+ = \mathbf{n}$, contradicting the assumption on $\overrightarrow{c}^*\overrightarrow{c}$. ■

Example 4.13 *Consider* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ *where* $\overrightarrow{w} = ((2,-5,6),(-2,5)) \in \oplus^2 A$ *from the previous example. Proceeding along the lines of the last Proposition we form* $\overrightarrow{a} = (-1)\overrightarrow{w} = ((-1,2,-5,6),(-3,5))$ *since* $\overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a} \neq \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$. *We see* $\overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a} \prec \mathbf{n}$, *in fact* $\overrightarrow{a}$ *is the element* $\overrightarrow{v}$ *from the preceding example. To obtain the second element just below* $\mathbf{n}$ *set* $\overrightarrow{b} = (1)\overrightarrow{v} = ((3,-5,6),(1,-2,5))$, *since* $\overrightarrow{b}^*\overrightarrow{b} \neq \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$. *Therefore* $\overrightarrow{b}^*\overrightarrow{b} \prec \mathbf{n}$ *giving the other element just below* $\mathbf{n}$. *Generally there may be elements other than* $\mathbf{n}$ *immediately above* $\overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a}$ *or* $\overrightarrow{b}^*\overrightarrow{b}$, *as is shown in the preceding example for* $\overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a}$ $(= \overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ *in that case*).

The matricial partial order on D_1 restricts to a matricial partial ordering on the unital *-semigroup D_0, where $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0) = \mathcal{M}_k(D_1) \cap \mathrm{M}_k(D_0)$. This follows by noting that if $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ with $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$, so that $w_i^* w_j \in D_0$ for all pairs (i,j), then whenever $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ or $(1,-1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ so that elements $\overrightarrow{w}_\pm^*\overrightarrow{w}_\pm$ can actually be formed, then $\overrightarrow{w}_\pm^*\overrightarrow{w}_\pm$ is again in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$. That this is the case follows again from the description of D_0 as $\left\{n \in A_+^0 \mid \sigma_r(n) \leq 0 \text{ for all } r \geq 0\right\}$ along with a more detailed checking of the various possibilities. As before there are examples of complete order maps.

Proposition 4.14 *The *-homomorphism* $\omega : D_0 \to D_1$ *is a complete order map of matricially ordered *-semigroups.*

Proof. Viewing $\omega(a) = (1)a(-1)$ for $a \in D_0$ as at the end of section 1, it follows as before (cf., Proposition 3.7), that the basic one step relation that generates the partial orders on the $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ are preserved by this map. ■

Remark 4.15 *Not every order representation of a completely ordered *-semigroup* $(S, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$ *need be a complete order representation. The unital completely ordered *-semigroup* D_0 *provides an example. With* F *the *-closed set* $Irr_+(A^0) \setminus (-1,1)$ *of* D_0, *define a *-map of* F *to a set of elements in the unit ball of a unital* C^**-algebra by mapping the ordered chain of three elements* $\{(-k,k) \mid 4 \leq k \leq 2\}$ *in* F^{sa} *to an ordered chain of 3 nonzero positive elements in a unital* C^**-algebra* C. *Note that this then requires that all powers of these selfadjoint elements have nonzero images in* C, *and therefore all elements in* C^{sa} *larger than these powers are also nonzero. Thus* $(-4,4)^2 \preceq (-4,3,-3,4) \preceq (-4,2,-2,4) \preceq (-4,4)$ *and* $(-3,3)^2 \preceq (-3,2,-2,3) \preceq (-3,3)$ *have nonzero images in* C^{sa}. *However, we can stipulate that in* C *the images of* $(-4,3,-3,4)$ *and* $(-4,2,-2,4)$ *agree while the image of* $(-3,3)$ *is strictly greater than the image of* $(-3,2,-2,3)$. *Those elements of* F *that are not forced to be nonzero can all be mapped to* 0 *in* C. *In particular* $(-k,k)$ *for* $k \leq 5$ *can be mapped to zero, as well as* $(-4,3,-2,3)$ *for example. This defines a unital *-semigroup homomorphism of*

D_0 to C which is an order map, so an order representation π. The map π_2 is not, however, a 2-order map of D_0 to C. With $\overrightarrow{w} = ((-2,3),(-3,4)) \in \oplus^2 A$, then $\overrightarrow{w}_+ = ((-1,3)),(-2,4))$ and so $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \preceq \overrightarrow{w}^*_+\overrightarrow{w}_+$ in $\mathcal{M}_2(D_0)$. The image $\pi_2(\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w})$ is $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \pi(-3,2,-2,3) & \pi(-3,2,-3,4) \\ \pi(-4,3,-2,3) & \pi(-4,3,-3,4) \end{array}\right]$, while $\pi_2(\overrightarrow{w}^*_+\overrightarrow{w}_+)$ is the element $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \pi(-3,3) & \pi(-4,4) \\ \pi(-4,4) & \pi(-4,2,-2,4) \end{array}\right]$ of $\mathrm{M}_2(C)$. Since $\pi(-4,4) \neq 0$ their difference $\pi_2(\overrightarrow{w}^*_+\overrightarrow{w}_+) - \pi_2(\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}) = \left[\begin{array}{ll} \pi(-3,3) - \pi(-3,2,-2,3) & \pi(-4,4) \\ \pi(-4,4) & 0 \end{array}\right]$ cannot be positive in $\mathrm{M}_2(C)$(cf., [P] exercise 3.2).

The next result is crucial in obtaining Hilbert modules via the ordered *-semigroup $(D_1, \preceq)$.

Proposition 4.16 *The *-map $\alpha : D_1 \to D_1$ is a complete order map of D_1 satisfying the Schwarz inequality for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. With $[n_{i,j}] = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ (where $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$) it is clear that $\alpha_k(\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}) = [\alpha(n_{i,j})] = (\overrightarrow{w}(1))^*\overrightarrow{w}(1) \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ and that if either of the conditions initiating a basic order relation on $\overrightarrow{w}$ is satisfied then this remains the case when considering $[\alpha(n_{i,j})]$. The basic process to obtain $\overrightarrow{w}^*_\pm\overrightarrow{w}_\pm$ given $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ will also yield $\alpha_k(\overrightarrow{w}^*_\pm\overrightarrow{w}_\pm)$ when given $[\alpha(n_{i,j})] = (\overrightarrow{w}(1))^*\overrightarrow{w}(1)$, and so α is a complete order map. For $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k D_1$ we have that $(\overrightarrow{n})^*(1,-1)(\overrightarrow{n}) \preceq \overrightarrow{n}^*\overrightarrow{n}$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, so applying the order map α_k and noting Remark 2.9 the Schwarz inequality follows. ■

We now consider Hilbert modules arising from this context for the ordered *-semigroup $(D_1, \preceq)$. If $\sigma : D_1 \to C$ is an order representation of the ordered *-semigroup $(D_1, \preceq)$ in a C*-algebra C then the composition $\beta = \sigma \circ \alpha : D_1 \to C$ is an order map which also satisfies the Schwarz inequality for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular the comments preceding Definition 4.4 imply $\beta : D_1 \to C$ is completely positive definite and therefore (Lemma 4.6) the space $X = \mathbb{C}[D_1] \otimes_{alg} C$ along with the sesqui-linear map $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ yields a Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}_C$.

Lemma 4.17 *Let $\sigma : D_1 \to C$ be an order representation of the ordered *-semigroup $(D_1, \preceq)$ in a C*-algebra C. If $\beta : D_1 \to C$ is the order map $\sigma \circ \alpha$ defined on the ordered *-semigroup $(D_1, \preceq)$ then $\langle(1,-1)x,(1,-1)x\rangle \leq \langle x,x\rangle$ and $\langle(-1,1)x,(-1,1)x\rangle \leq \langle x,x\rangle$ for $x \in X = \mathbb{C}[D_1] \otimes_{alg} C$.*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \otimes_{alg} c_i \in X$ where $s_i \in D_1$ and $c_i \in C$. Then $\langle(1,-1)x,(1,-1)x\rangle = \sum\langle c_i, \beta(s_i^*(1,-1)s_j)c_j\rangle = \sum c_i^*\sigma(\alpha(s_i^*)\alpha(s_j))c_j$ by Remark 2.9. This equals $\langle\overrightarrow{c}, T\overrightarrow{c}\rangle$ where T is the positive matrix $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{s})^*\beta_k(\overrightarrow{s})$ in $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$ with $\overrightarrow{c} \in \oplus_1^k C$, $\overrightarrow{s} \in \oplus^k D_1$ the appropriate vectors. Since β satisfies the Schwarz inequality for all k, $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{s})^*\beta_k(\overrightarrow{s}) \preceq \beta_k(\overrightarrow{s}^*\overrightarrow{s})$ in $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$, so $\langle\overrightarrow{c}, T\overrightarrow{c}\rangle \leq \langle\overrightarrow{c}, \beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^*\overrightarrow{s}]\overrightarrow{c}\rangle = \sum c_i^*\beta(s_i^*s_j)c_j = \sum\langle c_i, \beta(s_i^*s_j)c_j\rangle = \langle x,x\rangle$.

For the second inequality note $\langle(-1,1)x,(-1,1)x\rangle = \sum_k\sum_{J_k} c_i^*\beta(s_i^*(-1,1)s_j)c_j$ where J_k, $1 \leq k \leq 4$, are the index sets $J_1 = \{(i,j) \mid (1,-1)s_i = s_i,$ and

$(1,-1)s_j = s_j\}$, $J_2 = \{(i,j) \mid (-1,1)s_i = s_i$, and $(-1,1)s_j = s_j\}$, $J_3 = \{(i,j) \mid (-1,1)s_i = s_i$, and $(1,-1)s_j = s_j\}$ and $J_4 = \{(i,j) \mid (1,-1)s_i = s_i$, and $(-1,1)s_j = s_j\}$. An inspection shows that the sums over J_k where $k = 2, 3$, or 4, satisfy $\sum_{J_k} c_i^* \beta(s_i^*(-1,1)s_j)c_j = \sum_{J_k} c_i^* \beta(s_i^* s_j)c_j$. For the sum over J_1 we have $\sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i^*(-1,1)s_j)c_j = \sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i^*(1,-1)(-1,1)(1,-1)s_j)c_j$. However the terms $\beta(s_i^*(1,-1)(-1,1)(1,-1)s_j) = \sigma \circ \alpha(s_i^*(1,-1)(-1,1)(1,-1)s_j) = \sigma(\alpha(s_i^*)\alpha(-1,1)\alpha(s_j)) = \beta(s_i^*)\beta(-1,1)\beta(s_j)$ for each $i, j \in J_1$, so

$$\sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i^*(-1,1)s_j)c_j = \sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i^*)\beta(-1,1)\beta(s_j)c_j.$$

Propositions 3.9 and 3.5 imply $\beta(-1,1)$ is in the unit ball of the positive elements of $C^*(D_1, \preceq)$, so the positive matrix $\beta_k(\overrightarrow{s})^* \beta(-1,1) \beta_k(\overrightarrow{s}) \leq \beta_k(\overrightarrow{s})^* \beta_k(\overrightarrow{s})$ in the appropriate matrix algebra over the C*-algebra C, and

$$\sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i^*(1,-1)(-1,1)(1,-1)s_j)c_j \leq \sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i)^* \beta(s_j)c_j \leq \sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i^* s_j)c_j,$$

the latter inequality following from the Schwarz inequality. Therefore

$$\langle (-1,1)x, (-1,1)x \rangle \leq \sum_{J_1} c_i^* \beta(s_i^* s_j)c_j + \sum_{k=2}^{4} (\sum_{J_k} c_i^* \beta(s_i^* s_j)c_j) = \langle x, x \rangle .$$

■

Proposition 4.18 *Let $\sigma : D_1 \to C$ be an order representation of $(D_1, \preceq)$ to a C*-algebra C and $\beta = \sigma \circ \alpha : D_1 \to C$ the order map on D_1 defining the Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}_C$. The maps $x \to (-1,1)x$ and $x \to (1,-1)x$ on the dense space X are bounded and therefore define positive elements in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_C)$ of norm* 1.

Proof. The Lemma above show these maps are bounded in norm by 1, so can be extended to bounded operators on $\mathcal{E}_C$. They are both clearly adjointable maps on $\mathcal{E}_C$, in fact selfadjoint idempotents, and nonzero, so of norm 1. ■

Without resorting to maps with additional order structure this is where progress stalls. For a general element $a \in D_1$ we would like to conclude that the basic map $x \to ax$ on X is bounded, and adjointable, but this is unknown for our context. One problem is that it is not clear that the order map $\beta = \sigma \circ \alpha$ is a complete order map on D_1 if σ is only an order representation (Remark 4.15). In order to deal with these difficulties we introduce the universal C*-algebra $C^*((S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ (and the canonical complete order representation $\iota : S \to C^*((S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$) for order representations of a matricially ordered *-semigroup S in a C*-algebra which are, in addition, complete order maps, so complete order representations, of S equipped with its sequence of partially ordered sets $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$. If the universal C*-algebra $C^*(S, \preceq)$ exists then so does the C*-algebra $C^*((S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, and it is a quotient of $C^*(S, \preceq)$. Remark 4.15 shows that the universal C*-algebra $C^*((S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ is generally different from $C^*((S, \preceq))$.

For an ordered *-semigroup $(S, \preceq)$ and $\beta : S \to C$ an order representation in a C*-algebra C which is a monomorphism then there is always a matricial order

$(S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_\beta)$ on S so that β becomes a complete order representation. To see this note that $\beta_k : \mathcal{M}_k(S) \to \mathrm{M}_k(C)^{sa}$ must also be a monomorphism, where $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ is a specified subset of $\mathrm{M}_k(C)^{sa}$ containing the required elements (so also closed under the conjugation requirement) so that the maps $\iota_\tau : \mathcal{M}_d(S) \to \mathcal{M}_k(S)$ for $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$ are defined. For example one could set $\mathcal{M}_k(S) = \mathrm{M}_k(S)^{sa} = \beta_k^{-1}(\mathrm{M}_k(C)^{sa})$ for $k > 1$ (when $k = 1$, S^{sa} is already equal to $\beta^{-1}(C^{sa})$). Define the partial order on $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ for $k > 1$ to be the pull back partial order $\preceq_{\beta_k}$of $\mathrm{M}_k(C)^{sa}$. That the maps $\iota_\tau : \mathcal{M}_d(S) \to \mathcal{M}_k(S)$, for $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(d,k)$, are order maps follows from $\beta_k \circ \iota_\tau = \iota_\tau \circ\ \beta_d$, where the second ι_τ is the order map $\mathcal{M}_d(C) \to \mathcal{M}_k(C)$. It only remains to check that this partial order on $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ satisfies $\overrightarrow{n}^*_\delta [a_{i,j}]\, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta \preceq \overrightarrow{n}^*_\delta [b_{i,j}]\, \overrightarrow{n}_\delta$ for $\overrightarrow{n} \in \oplus^k S$ whenever $[a_{i,j}] \preceq [b_{i,j}]$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$. By definition this follows if $\beta_k[(n_i^* a_{ij} n_j)] \leq \beta_k[(n_i^* b_{ij} n_j)]$ in $\mathrm{M}_k(C)^{sa}$ whenever $[a_{i,j}] \preceq [b_{i,j}]$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$. However $\beta_k[(n_i^* a_{ij} n_j)] = [\beta(n_i)^* \beta(a_{ij}) \beta(n_j)]$ is the product of matrices $N^* \beta_k[a_{ij}] N$ in $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$ where N is the $k \times k$ diagonal matrix with entries $\beta(n_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ and all other entries 0. By definition of the pull back partial order $\beta_k[a_{ij}] \preceq \beta_k[b_{ij}]$ whenever $[a_{i,j}] \preceq [b_{i,j}]$, so the required condition follows from the properties of the ordering in a C*-algebra. If there is an order representation of $(S, \preceq)$ which is a monomorphism then this is equivalent to the canonical order representation $\iota : S \to C^*(S, \preceq)$ being a monomorphism. The above shows that there is a matricial order $(S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_\iota)$ on S so that ι becomes a complete order representation. Note that in the procedure to obtain a matricial order we did not pull back the partial order on the C*-algebra to the ordered *-semigroup to obtain the ordered *-semigroup $(S, \preceq_\iota)$, however there is no problem doing this since Remark 3.10 implies that one can replace the partial order $\preceq$ with $\preceq_\iota$without altering the universal C*-algebra for $(S, \preceq)$.

Proposition 4.19 *For an ordered *-semigroup* $(S, \preceq)$ *assume that* $C^*(S, \preceq)$ *exists and that the canonical order representation* $\iota : S \to C^*(S, \preceq)$ *is a monomorphism. If a matricial ordering* $(S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_\iota)$ *is defined via* ι *then* $C^*((S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_\iota))$ *is isomorphic to* $C^*((S, \preceq))$.

Proof. It is enough to show that any order representation $\beta : (S, \preceq) \to C$ is a complete order representation of $(S, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_\iota)$. By definition $a \preceq b$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(S)$ if and only if $\iota_k(a) \leq \iota_k(b)$ in $\mathrm{M}_k(C^*(S, \preceq))^{sa}$. Since $(\pi_\beta)_k$ is a *-homomorphism from $\mathrm{M}_k(C^*(S, \preceq))$ to $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$ it is positive, so $(\pi_\beta)_k \iota_k(a) \leq (\pi_\beta)_k \iota_k(b)$, i.e., $\beta_k(a) \leq \beta_k(b)$. ■

One may apply this observation to the ordered *-semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, \preceq)$ since $\iota : (\mathbb{N}, \preceq) \to C^*(\mathbb{N}, \preceq) = C((0,1])$ mapping 1 to the positive contraction $f(t) = t$, $t \in (0,1]$ is an injective order representation. Therefore the matricial order structure $(\mathbb{N}, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_\iota)$ is defined and $C^*(\mathbb{N}, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_\iota) = C^*(\mathbb{N}, \preceq)$. Similarly for a discrete group G viewed as a *-semigroup; since $C^*((G, \preceq))$ is $C^*(G)$ and G embeds in $C^*(G)$ there is a matricial ordering $(G, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$ where $\mathcal{M}_k(G)$ consists of selfadjoint $k \times k$ matrices $\mathrm{M}_k(G)^{sa}$ with a partial order obtained by pulling back the partial order on $\mathrm{M}_k(C^*(G))^{sa}$. Therefore the universal C*-algebras $C_b^*(G)$, $C^*(G)$, $C^*((G, \preceq))$ and $C^*((G, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ all coincide for a group G when G is viewed as a *-semigroup.

Consider the *-semigroup A defined in the first section; clearly any order representation, in fact any *-representation, of A is also a complete order representation of this matricially ordered *-semigroup, so the universal C*-algebra $C^*(A,\preceq,\mathcal{M})$ is (isomorphic to) $C^*(A,\preceq)$, and therefore all of the universal C*-algebras $C_b^*(A)$, $C^*(A)$, $C^*((A,\preceq))$ and $C^*((A,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ coincide for the *-semigroup A.

For the matricial ordered semigroup $(D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})$ let $\iota_1 : D_1 \to C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ denote the canonical complete order representation and set $\beta = \iota_1 \circ \alpha : D_1 \to C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$. This is a complete order map, so necessarily an order map, satisfying the Schwarz inequality. The previous two lemmas yield a Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$, as well as the two contractive module maps defined by the idempotents $(-1,1)$ and $(1,-1)$ of D_1.

Theorem 4.20 *There is a complete order representation* $l : D_1 \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})$ *of the matricially ordered *-semigroup* D_1 *which yields a *-representation* $\phi : C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})$ *defining a correspondence* $\mathcal{E}$ *over the C*-algebra* $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$.

Proof. Choose $a, b \in D_1^{sa}$ with $a \preceq b$ and $\overrightarrow{s} \in \oplus^k D_1$. Then $a^2 \preceq a$, and since β is a complete order map $\beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^* a^2 \overrightarrow{s}] \leq \beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^* a \overrightarrow{s}] \leq \beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^* b \overrightarrow{s}]$ in the C*-algebra $\mathrm{M}_k(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))$. Since either $b \preceq (-1,1)$, or $b \preceq (1,-1)$ in $(D_1,\preceq)$ it follows that $\beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^* b \overrightarrow{s}] \leq \beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^*(1,-1)\overrightarrow{s}]$ (or $\beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^*(-1,1)\overrightarrow{s}]$) $\leq [\beta(s_i^* s_j)]$. For $x = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \otimes_{alg} c_i \in X = \mathbb{C}[D_1] \otimes_{alg} C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ we therefore obtain $\langle ax, ax\rangle = \sum \left\langle c_i, \beta(s_i^* a^2 s_j) c_j\right\rangle = \left\langle \overrightarrow{c}, \beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^* a^2 \overrightarrow{s}]\overrightarrow{c}\right\rangle \leq \left\langle \overrightarrow{c}, \beta_k[\overrightarrow{s}^* a \overrightarrow{s}]\overrightarrow{c}\right\rangle$ $= \langle x, ax\rangle \leq \langle x, bx\rangle \leq \langle x, x\rangle$, where $\overrightarrow{c} = (c_1, ..., c_k)$. It follows that the map $x \to ax$ on X is bounded, so extends to a bounded map $l(a)$, of norm less than or equal to 1, on $\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$. It is also adjointable, in fact selfadjoint. The above inequalities show $\langle x, l\,(a)\,x\rangle \leq \langle x, l(b)x\rangle$ for $x \in X/N$. Since these are bounded maps this inequality persists for $x \in \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$, which implies ([L], Lemma 4.1) that $l(a) \leq l(b)$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})$.

For arbitrary $a \in D_1$ the element a^*a is in D_1^{sa} so $l(a^*a) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})$. We have $0 \leq \langle ax, ax\rangle \leq \langle x, a^*ax\rangle \leq \langle x, x\rangle$ so again the map $x \to ax$ on X is bounded, and extends to a bounded map $l(a)$ on $\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$; it is clearly adjointable with adjoint $l(a^*)$. The map l is therefore an order representation of $(D_1,\preceq)$ in the C*-algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})$.

To show l is a complete order map of D_1 to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})$ it is enough to show $l_k(\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}) \leq l_k(\overrightarrow{w}_\pm^*\overrightarrow{w}_\pm)$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}))$, so as elements of the C*-algebra $\mathcal{L}(\oplus^k\mathcal{E})$, whenever $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, with $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$. This follows if $\left\langle \overrightarrow{x}, l_k(\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w})\overrightarrow{x}\right\rangle \leq \left\langle \overrightarrow{x}, l_k(\overrightarrow{w}_\pm^*\overrightarrow{w}_\pm)\overrightarrow{x}\right\rangle$ for $\overrightarrow{x} = (x_1, ..., x_k)$ with x_i in the dense space $X = \mathbb{C}[D_1] \otimes_{alg} C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ of the module $\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ ([L], Lemma 4.1). Writing $x_i = \sum_{h_i=1}^{t_i} s_{i,h_i} \otimes c_{i,h_i}$ with $s_{i,h_i} \in D_1$, $c_{i,h_i} \in C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$, we have $\left\langle \overrightarrow{x}, l_k(\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w})\overrightarrow{x}\right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{h_i=1}^{t_i} \sum_{h_j=1}^{t_j} \left\langle s_{i,h_i} \otimes c_{i,h_i}, l(w_i^* w_j) s_{j,h_j} \otimes c_{j,h_j}\right\rangle$ which is equal to the same sum over the terms $\left\langle c_{i,h_i}, \beta(s_{i,h_i}^*(w_i^* w_j) s_{j,h_j}) c_{j,h_j}\right\rangle_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$.
Let $r = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i$, $\tau = (t_1, ..., t_d) \in \mathcal{P}(k,r)$, $\overrightarrow{s} = (s_{1,1}, ..., s_{1,f_1}, s_{1,1}, ..., s_{k,f_k}) \in$

$\oplus_1^r D_1$ and $\overrightarrow{c} = (c_{1,1}, ..., c_{1,f_1}, c_{1,1}, ..., c_{k,f_k})$ in the Hilbert C-module $\oplus_1^r C$. This is $\langle \overrightarrow{c}, T\overrightarrow{c} \rangle$ where T is the matrix $[\beta(s_{i,h_i}^*(w_i^* w_j)s_{j,h_j})] = \beta_r[(s_{i,h_i}^*(w_i^* w_j)s_{j,h_j})] = \beta_r(\overrightarrow{s}_\delta^* \left[w_i^* w_j\right]_\tau \overrightarrow{s}_\delta)$ in $\mathrm{M}_r(C)$. Since β is a complete order map and $\iota_\tau : \mathcal{M}_k(D_1) \to \mathcal{M}_r(D_1)$ is an order map, and $[w_i^* w_j] \preceq \left[w_{i\pm}^* w_{j\pm}\right]$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, the desired inequality follows and l is a complete order map.

The universal property of $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ now provides a *-representation $(\phi =)\ \pi_l : C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})$ with $\pi_l \circ \iota_1 = l$. ■

Remark 4.21 *If we had considered a complete order representation* $\eta : (D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}) \to C$ *to a C*-algebra* C *then with* $\beta = \eta \circ \alpha$ *the arguments in these results lead to a Hilbert module* $\mathcal{E}_C$ *(the closure of* $\mathbb{C}[D_1] \otimes_{alg} C$*) over the C*-algebra* C*, along with a *-homomorphism* $\phi_C : C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_C)$ *defining a C*-correspondence* ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_C$ *from* $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ *to* C*.*

Remark 4.22 *The argument used for* D_1 *in the previous lemma and theorem also applies to other matricially ordered *-semigroups satisfying appropriate hypotheses.*

The next requirement is to describe the elements in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ intrinsically, making use of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.7. This allows one to find the elements above a given element in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, leading to a complete order version of the earlier extension result Proposition 3.13. As in Proposition 3.3 the *-semigroup homomorphism $\tau : A \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a useful tool.

Proposition 4.23 *If* $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ *then* $\tau(w_i^*) = \tau(w_j^*)$ *for all* i, j*. The subset* $\{\tau(w_i^*) \mid \mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w},\ \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ *with reduced components*$\}$ *of* $\mathbb{Z}$ *is a single integer* $r \le 1$ *if and only if* $\mathbf{n}$ *has a unique factorization* $\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}$*, otherwise it is of the form* $\{r, r-1\}$ *where* $r \le 1$*.*

Proof. Consider $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ where $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ has reduced components. First note that for all i and j, $n_{ij} = w_i^* w_j$ is an element of D_1, so of A_0, and $0 = \tau(n_{ij}) = \tau(w_i^*) + \tau(w_j) = \tau(w_i^*) - \tau(w_j)$; therefore $\tau(w_i^*) = \tau(w_j^*)$ for all i and j. Remark 2.9 shows this set is bounded above by 1. By Proposition 4.7 there is exactly one factorization $\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}$ of $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ unless $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ or $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, in which case there is a second factorization $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{v}^* \overrightarrow{v}$ with $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$ if $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, or $\overrightarrow{v} = (-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ if $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$. Therefore the set of possible values for $\tau(w_i^*)$, where $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ has reduced components and forms a factorization $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}$, consists of exactly one or exactly two elements, the later occurring if and only if $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ or $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$, or equivalently whenever the conditions for finding potential elements of $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ lying above $\mathbf{n}$ in the partial order are satisfied. If $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ and $\tau(w_i^*) = r$ for some, and therefore all, i then $\tau(v_i^*) = \tau(w_i^*(-1)) = r - 1$ for all i, where $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$. ■

If $\tau(w_i^*) = 1$ for some i then the last paragraph implies $\tau(w_i^*) = 1$ for all i and therefore $n_{ii} = w_i^* w_i$ is of the form $m_i^*(1, -1)m_i$ where $m_i \in D_1$ with $(-1, 1)m_i = m_i$ if it occurs (Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3). Therefore, for all i, $(-1)m_i$ when reduced in A must be w_i, where $m_i \in D_1$, since this is the only possibility with $\tau(w_i^*) = 1$. This implies that $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{w}$. If m_j does not occur for some j then set $m_j = (1,-1)$. Thus $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}^*(1,-1)\overrightarrow{m}$ with $\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, ..., m_k) \in \oplus^k D_1$, where, for each j, either $(-1,1)m_j = m_j$ or $m_j = (1,-1)$; the term $(1,-1)$ therefore occurs as the central term of n_{ii} for all i. Since $w_i = (-1)m_i$ for all i, Proposition 4.7 implies that there is an alternate factorization $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ with $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$, so then $\tau(v_i^*) = \tau(m_i) = 0$. Thus if $\tau(w_i^*) = 1$ for some i then $n_{ii} = w_i^* w_i$ must be the minimal (length) factorization. In conclusion the term $(1,-1)$ occurs as the central term of n_{ii}, viewed in D_1,for some i if and only if this occurs for all i, and this is equivalent to the set of values $\{\tau(w_i^*) \mid \mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}, \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A \text{ with reduced components}\} = \{1, 0\}$.

Next assume that $(1,-1)$ does not occur as the middle term of any n_{ii}, so $\tau(w_i^*) \leq 0$ for all i for any possible factorization $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ with reduced components in A. Consider the case that there is a factorization $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ with $\tau(w_i^*) = 0$ for some i, therefore for all i. Then $w_i \in D_1$ for all i, so by Proposition 3.3 each w_i must have the form $a_i m_i$ with $a_i \in D_0$ and $m_i \in D_1$. If $w_i \in D_0$ for all i then $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$, otherwise if m_i does not occur for some i set $m_i = (-1,1)$. In particular it follows that $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{w}$ and therefore $\mathbf{n}$ has two factorizations, and so this case is equivalent to $\{\tau(w_i^*) \mid \mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}, \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A \text{ with reduced components}\} = \{0, -1\}$. In this situation it is possible that $a_i = (-1,1)$; for example consider $\overrightarrow{w} = ((-2,3,-2,1),(-1,1)) \in \oplus^2 A$ where $a_1 = (-2,2)$, $m_1 = (1,-1)(-1,1)$ and $m_2 = a_2 = (-1,1)$.

The remaining case is $\tau(w_i^*) \leq -1$ for any, and therefore all, i and for all possible factorization $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, with $\overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A$ having reduced components in A. Assume either $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{w}$ or $\overrightarrow{w} = (1,-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ is satisfied; then $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ is another factorization, where in the first case $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$, so $\tau(v_i^*) = \tau(w_i^*) - 1$, or in the second case $\overrightarrow{v} = (-1)\overrightarrow{w}$, so $\tau(v_i^*) = \tau(w_i^*) + 1$. By hypothesis $\tau(v_i^*) \leq -1$ for all i also, so $\tau(v_i^*) \leq -2$ in the first case, while in the second case forcing $\tau(w_i^*) \leq -2$. Thus $\tau(w_i^*) = -1$ for some (all) i implies that $\overrightarrow{w} = (1,-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ is not possible for this remaining scenario, so w_i cannot have the form $(-1,1)m_i$ (since $\tau(w_i^*) = 0$ then) or $(1)m_i$ (since then $\overrightarrow{w} = (1,-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ and $\tau(w_i^*) = -1$) for some $m_i \in D_1$. In particular the central term of n_{ii} cannot be $(-1,1)$ for any i. It follows that for this remaining case the central term of n_{ii} must be irreducible in D_0, since otherwise there would be a factorization $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ with $\tau(w_i^*) = 0$ for some (so all) i. Lemma 2.2 then implies that the element $\overrightarrow{\delta}^*\overrightarrow{\delta}$ of $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$, where $\overrightarrow{\delta} = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_k) \in \oplus^k A$ is used to capture these irreducible middle elements of n_{ii}, must have all its entries in $Irr(D_0)\backslash\{(-1,1)\}$.

Proposition 4.24 *If* $\mathbf{n} = [n_{ij}] = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ *then one of the following holds:*

i. If $\tau(w_i^*) = 1$ *for some* i *then* $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *and there is* $\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, ..., m_k) \in \oplus^k D_1$ *where for each* i*, either* $(-1,1)m_i = m_i$ *or* $m_i = (1,-1)$*, and* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}^*(1,-1)\overrightarrow{m}$*. The set* $\{\tau(w_i^*) \mid \mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}, \overrightarrow{w} \in \oplus^k A \text{ with reduced components}\} = \{1, 0\}$.

ii. If $\tau(w_i^*) = 0$ *for some* i *then* $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *or* $\overrightarrow{w} = (1,-1)\overrightarrow{w}$. *If* $\overrightarrow{w} = (1,-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *then* $\overrightarrow{v} = (-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *yields a factorization* $\overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ *with* $\tau(v_i^*) = 1$ *which is case i. If* $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *then* $\overrightarrow{v} = (1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *yields a factorization* $\overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ *with* $\tau(v_i^*) = -1$, *and there is* $\overrightarrow{a} = (a_1, ..., a_k) \in \oplus^k D_o$ *with* $\overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ *a matrix whose diagonal entries are in* $(D_0)^{sa}$, *and* $\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, ..., m_k) \in \oplus^k D_1$ *so that* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}_\delta^*\overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a}\overrightarrow{m}_\delta$ *(where* $\delta = (1, ..., 1) \in \mathcal{P}(k,k)$*). The element* $\overrightarrow{m}$ *is unneccessary if* $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$.

iii. If $\tau(w_i^*) \leq -1$ *for all* i *and for any factorization* $\overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w}$ *of* $\mathbf{n}$. *Then neither* $\overrightarrow{w} = (-1,1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *nor* $\overrightarrow{w} = (1,-1)\overrightarrow{w}$ *is satisfied and* $\mathbf{n}$ *is maximal in the partial order on* $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, *or if one of these is satisfied then there is a* $\overrightarrow{\delta} = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_k) \in \oplus^k A$ *with* $\overrightarrow{\delta}^*\overrightarrow{\delta} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ *a matrix with entries in* $Irr(D_0)\backslash\{(-1,1)\}$, *and* $\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, ..., m_k) \in \oplus^k D_1$, *so that* $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}_\delta^*\overrightarrow{\delta}^*\overrightarrow{\delta}\overrightarrow{m}_\delta$.

Although these forms are to be viewed as formal matrix structures for matrices $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^*\overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, they are realizable decompositions whenever the semigroups are represented in a C*-algebra.

Given an element $\mathbf{n}$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ these canonical forms make clear which elements $\mathbf{r}$ occur in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ with $\mathbf{n} \preceq \mathbf{r}$. For example, if $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}^*(1,-1)\overrightarrow{m}$ as in part i. of Proposition 4.24 then after checking the various possibilities, the choices for $\overrightarrow{w}_+$ involve choosing $(w_i)_+$ for each i to either be m_i or $(1,-1)m_i$ (when viewed as an element of D_1); define $\overrightarrow{t} \in \oplus^k D_1$ to be the element with i-th entry t_i equal to that choice of m_i or $(1,-1)m_i$. Then $\overrightarrow{t}^*(1,-1)\overrightarrow{t} = \mathbf{n}$, so $\mathbf{n} \preceq \overrightarrow{w}_+^*\overrightarrow{w}_+ = \overrightarrow{t}^*\overrightarrow{t}$. The other alternate factorization for such an element $\mathbf{n}$ is $\overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ with $\overrightarrow{v} = (-1)\overrightarrow{w}$, so $(-1,1)\overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}$ in this case, however $\overrightarrow{v}_-^*\overrightarrow{v}_-$ is just $\overrightarrow{v}^*\overrightarrow{v}$ for any choice of $\overrightarrow{v}_-$, so no elements larger than $\mathbf{n}$ are found through this latter choice of factorization for $\mathbf{n}$.

Suppose (cf., case ii and iii of Proposition 4.24) $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}_\delta^*\mathbf{c}\overrightarrow{m}_\delta$ with $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$. If $\mathbf{c}$ is not maximal in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ then $\mathbf{c} \prec \mathbf{d}$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ and $\mathbf{n} \prec \overrightarrow{m}_\delta^*\mathbf{d}\overrightarrow{m}_\delta$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ by Corollary 4.9.

If $\mathbf{n}$ is as in part ii. of Proposition 4.24 then $\mathbf{c} = \overrightarrow{a}^*\overrightarrow{a} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$. If $\mathbf{c}$ is not maximal in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ then the last paragraph applies, so assume $\mathbf{c}$ is maximal in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$. Therefore $\mathbf{c} = \overrightarrow{a}_+^*\overrightarrow{a}_+$ for all choices of $\overrightarrow{a}_+$ and so for each i, a_i must have the form $(-1, r, ...) \in D_0$; by Proposition 2.5 r must be 1. However $(-1,1)$ is the unit of D_0, so therefore a_i must be $(-1,1)$ for all i. If $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{c}$ then $\mathbf{n}$ is also maximal. If $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{c}$ then, using the same approach for $\mathbf{n}$ satisfying part i, define $\overrightarrow{t} \in \oplus^k D_1$ to be the element with i-th entry t_i chosen to be m_i or $(-1,1)m_i$; then $n = \overrightarrow{t}^*(-1,1)\overrightarrow{t} \preceq \overrightarrow{t}^*\overrightarrow{t}$ yields all the possible choices of elements in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$, including the extreme choice $\overrightarrow{m}^*\overrightarrow{m}$, that lie above $\mathbf{n}$ in the partial order.

If $\mathbf{n}$ is as in part iii and has two factorizations, so enabling the consideration of potential larger elements in the partial order, then $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}_\delta^*\mathbf{c}\overrightarrow{m}_\delta$ with $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ with entries in $Irr(D_0)\backslash\{(-1,1)\}$. Given any element n in $D_1^{sa}\backslash\{(-1,1)\}$ there is an element m with $n \prec m$ in D_0^{sa}, therefore there is an element $\mathbf{d}$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ with $\mathbf{c} \prec \mathbf{d}$.

It is now possible to establish a version of the previous extension result for $C^*(D_j, \preceq)$ (Proposition 3.13) for the universal complete order C*-algebras $C^*((D_j, \preceq, \mathcal{M}_k))$, $j = 0, 1$. The main aspect of the extension result that needs to be addressed is obtaining a complete order map of $(D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$ extending a given complete order map of $(D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$. For this it is sufficient to consider the situation where an element $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ is not maximal in the partial order, consequently we may restrict attention to those $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}$ where either $(-1, 1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ or $(1, -1)\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w}$ is satisfied, as these are the only avenues available to obtain elements $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ with $\mathbf{n} \preceq \mathbf{m}$. For the first two parts of the last Proposition this is automatically the case.[**]

Proposition 4.25 *Given a complete order representation $\sigma : D_0 \to C$ in a C^*-algebra C, there is a complete order representation $\rho : D_1 \to C$ that extends σ.*

Proof. Since σ is a complete order representation it is an order representation of $(D_0, \preceq)$, so there exists an order representation $\rho : D_1 \to C$ extending σ (Proposition 3.13). It is sufficient to show that ρ is a complete order map, so $\rho_k(\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w}) \leq \rho_k(\overrightarrow{w}^*_\pm \overrightarrow{w}_\pm)$ whenever $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} \in \mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$ and $\overrightarrow{w}^* \overrightarrow{w} \preceq \overrightarrow{w}^*_\pm \overrightarrow{w}_\pm$ is a basic step defining the partial order in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_1)$. Assume that $\mathbf{n}$ is not maximal with respect to the partial order, since otherwise there is nothing to show. Consider the possibilities for $\mathbf{n}$ and the possible elements $\overrightarrow{w}^*_\pm \overrightarrow{w}_\pm$ larger than $\mathbf{n}$ described after Proposition 4.24. An illustration of two situations suffices. For example in the first case $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{t}^*(1, -1)\overrightarrow{t} \preceq \overrightarrow{t}^* \overrightarrow{t}$ where $\overrightarrow{t} \in \oplus^k D_1$ and so $\rho_k(\mathbf{n}) = \rho(\overrightarrow{t}^*) q \rho(\overrightarrow{t}) \leq \rho(\overrightarrow{t}^*)\rho(\overrightarrow{t}) = \rho_k(\overrightarrow{t}^* \overrightarrow{t})$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(C)$, where $\rho(1, -1) = q$. For another situation suppose $\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{m}^*_\delta \mathbf{c} \overrightarrow{m}_\delta$ with $\mathbf{c} \prec \mathbf{d}$ in $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$; then the usual order properties in the C*-algebra $\mathrm{M}_k(C)$ yield $\rho_k(\mathbf{n}) = \rho_k(\overrightarrow{m}^*_\delta)\sigma_k(\mathbf{c})\rho_k(\overrightarrow{m}_\delta) \leq \rho_k(\overrightarrow{m}^*_\delta)\sigma_k(\mathbf{d})\rho_k(\overrightarrow{m}_\delta)$ since by hypothesis σ_k is an order map from $\mathcal{M}_k(D_0)$ to $\mathcal{M}_k(C)$. ■

The universal property implies that there is a *-homomorphism $\pi_\iota : C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ where $\iota : (D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}) \to (D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$ is the natural inclusion and $\pi_\iota \circ \iota_0 = \iota_1 \circ \iota$ (with $\iota_j : D_j \to C^*(D_j, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$) the canonical complete order representations for $j = 0, 1$). The next result follows using the same argument in Corollary 3.14.

Corollary 4.26 *The *-homomorphism $\pi_\iota : C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ is an injection of C^*-algebras.*

Remark 4.27 *Since the complete order map α actually maps D_1 to the subsemigroup D_0, we may apply Remark 4.21 to the complete order map $\beta_0 = \iota_0 \circ \alpha : D_1 \to C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ to define the Hilbert $C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ module $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))}$ via the vector space $X_0 = \mathbb{C}[D_1] \otimes_{alg} C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, and a C^*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))}$.with left action $\phi_0 : C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))})$. The map $\xi : X_0 \to X$ specified on simple tensors by $s \otimes c \to s \otimes \pi_\iota(c)$ for $s \in D_1$, $c \in C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ yields a map, isometric by the previous Corollary, of correspondences $\xi : \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))} \to \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))}$, so $\langle \xi x, \xi y \rangle = \pi_\iota(\langle x, y \rangle)$ and $\phi(a) \circ \xi = \xi \circ \phi_0(a)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))}$,*

$a \in C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$. *In particular* $\phi(a) = 0$ *implies that* $\phi_0(a) = 0$. *This last observation is crucial in establishing Corollary 5.12 below, from which a specific isomorphic representation of* $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ *follows (Corollary 5.13).*

5 A Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra

We consider, for a particular ideal K of $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, a relative Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}(K, \mathcal{E})$ associated with the C*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$, and establish an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(K, \mathcal{E})$ with the universal C*-algebra $\mathcal{P}$ generated by a partial isometry.

We briefly sketch some relevant background and refer the reader, for example, to [Pm], [FMR], [K] and references therein. If ${}_B\mathcal{E}_B$ is a C*-correspondence over a C*-algebra B then a representation $(T, \pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ of ${}_B\mathcal{E}_B$ in a C*-algebra C is a *-homomorphism $\pi : B \to C$ along with a linear map $T : \mathcal{E} \to C$ which is a B-bimodule map, and which is a Hilbert module isometry; so $T(\phi(b)x) = \pi(b)T(x)$, $T(xb) = T(x)\pi(b)$, and $T^*(x)T(y)$ (which equals $\langle T(x), T(y)\rangle_C) = \pi(\langle x, y\rangle_B)$ for $b \in B$, $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. If $(T, \pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ is a representation of $\mathcal{E}$ in a C*-algebra C the C*-subalgebra of C generated by $T(\mathcal{E}) \cup \pi(B)$ is denoted $C^*(T, \pi)$. For C a C*-algebra, using the identification of $\mathcal{K}(C)$ with C, a representation (T, π) of $\mathcal{E}$ in C yields a *-homomorphism $\Psi_T : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) \to C$ determined by $\theta_{x,y} \to T(x)T^*(y)$. Denote the ideal $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}))$ of B by $J(\mathcal{E})$, and say that a representation $(T, \pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ is coisometric on an ideal K contained in $J(\mathcal{E})$ if $\Psi_T(\phi(b)) = \pi(b)$ for all $b \in K$. There is a universal such coisometric C*-algebra $C^*(T_{\mathcal{E}}, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$, so for a C*-correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ over B there is a representation $(T_{\mathcal{E}}, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ in a C*-algebra which is coisometric (on K) and universal among all such representations of $\mathcal{E}$ ([FMR]); for (T, π) a representation of $\mathcal{E}$ in a C*-algebra C coisometric on K there is a *-homomorphism $\rho : C^*(T_{\mathcal{E}}, \pi_{\mathcal{E}}) \to C$ with $(T, \pi) = \rho \circ (T_{\mathcal{E}}, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$. The universal C*-algebra $C^*(T_{\mathcal{E}}, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$, called the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of $\mathcal{E}$ (determined by K), is denoted $\mathcal{O}(K, \mathcal{E})$. For the ideal $J_{\mathcal{E}} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})) \cap (\ker \phi)^{\perp}$ of B the universal Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}(J_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E})$ determined by this ideal is denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ([K]).

Consider the correspondence $\mathcal{E} =_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))} \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ of the previous section. Although the semigroup D_1 is not unital there is still a crucial distinguished element in the space $\mathcal{E}$, namely, consider the (class of the) element $e = (1, -1) \otimes \iota_1(-1, 1)$ in $\mathcal{E}$. Since $\alpha(1, -1) = (-1, 1)$ the $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$-valued inner product $\langle e, e\rangle = \langle \iota_1(-1, 1), \iota_1\alpha((1, -1)^*(1, -1))\iota_1(-1, 1)\rangle = \iota_1(-1, 1)$, so if $(T, \pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ is a representation of the C*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ then $T^*(e)T(e) = \pi(\langle e, e\rangle_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}) = \pi(\iota_1(-1, 1))$, which, since $(-1, 1)$ is a selfadjoint idempotent in the *-semigroup D_1, must be a projection in C. Therefore $T(e)$ is necessarily a partial isometry in C. Compute, for $b \in C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, that $T^*(e)\pi(b)T(e) = T^*(e)T(\phi(b)e) = \pi(\langle e, b(1, -1) \otimes (-1, 1)\rangle_{C^*(D_1)}) = \pi(\sigma((-1, 1)\alpha(b)(-1, 1))) = \pi(\iota_1\alpha(b))$. Therefore the partial isometry $T(e)$ implements the complete order map $\beta = \iota_1\alpha$ of the ordered *-semigroup $(D_1, \preceq)$ in the image C*-algebra $\pi(C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})))$.

In particular the C*-algebra subalgebra of C generated by the partial isometry $T(e)$ must contain the image under $\pi\circ\iota_1$ of the *-subsemigroup of D_1 which is generated by the element $(-1,1)$ and the map α. By Theorem 2.7 this is the *-subsemigroup D_0 of D_1; so $\pi(\iota_1(D_0))$ is contained in the C*-algebra generated by $T(e)$. However, since $\pi(\iota_1(1,-1))T(e) = T(\phi(\iota_1(1,-1))e) = T(e)$ the final projection $T(e)T(e)^*$ of the partial isometry $T(e)$ is only required to be less than or equal to the projection $\pi(\iota_1(1,-1))$, so the projection $\pi(\iota_1(1,-1))$ in $\pi(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))$ may not be contained in the C*-algebra generated by $T(e)$.

Lemma 5.1 *If $k,n,m\in D_1$, then $k\otimes\iota_1(m) = k(1,-1)\otimes\iota_1((-1,1)m)$ and $k\otimes\iota_1(\alpha(n)m) = k(1,-1)n\otimes\iota_1(m)$ in X/N. In particular $k\otimes\iota_1(m) = k(1,-1)\otimes\iota_1(m) = k\otimes\iota_1((-1,1)m)$.*

Proof. Recall Remark 2.9. By definition the $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$-valued inner product on X, $\langle k\otimes\iota_1(m), a\otimes\iota_1(b)\rangle = \langle\iota_1(m), \iota_1\alpha(k^*a)\iota_1(b)\rangle$ while

$$\langle k(1,-1)\otimes\iota_1((-1,1)m), a\otimes\iota_1(b)\rangle = \langle\iota_1((-1,1)m), \iota_1\alpha((1,-1)k^*a)\iota_1(b)\rangle =$$

$$\iota_1(m^*(-1,1))\iota_1\alpha(k^*a)\iota_1(b) = \iota_1(m^*)\iota_1((-1,1)\alpha(k^*a))\iota_1(b) = \iota_1(m^*)\iota_1(\alpha(k^*a))\iota_1(b)$$

which is the first inner product. Since the elements $a\otimes\iota_1(b)$ span X, the first equality follows.

For the second equality;

$$\langle k\otimes\iota_1(\alpha(n)m), a\otimes\iota_1(b)\rangle = \langle\iota_1(\alpha(n)m), (\iota_1\alpha(k^*a))\iota_1(b)\rangle =$$

$$\langle k(1,-1)n\otimes\iota_1(m), a\otimes\iota_1(b)\rangle = \langle\iota_1(m), (\iota_1\alpha(n^*(1,-1)k^*a)\iota_1(b)\rangle =$$

$$\langle\iota_1(m), \iota_1(\alpha(n)^*\alpha(k^*)\alpha(k^*a))\iota_1(b)\rangle = \langle\iota_1(\alpha(n)m), \iota_1(\alpha(k^*a))\iota_1(b)\rangle\,.$$

The last statements follow by setting $n=(1,-1)$. ■

For $(T,\pi):\mathcal{E}\to C$ a representation of the C*-correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ over $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ and $k,m\in D_1$ the last Lemma implies $T(k\otimes\iota_1(m)) = T(k(1,-1)\otimes\iota_1((-1,1)m)) = T(l(k)e\iota_1(m)) = T(\phi(\iota_1(k))e\iota_1(m)) = \pi(\iota_1(k))T(e)\pi(\iota_1(m))$, so the image space $T(\mathcal{E})$ in C is contained in $\pi(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))T(e)\pi(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))$.

Lemma 5.2 *If $x,y\in D_1$ then $\phi(\iota_1(x(1,-1)y^*)) = \theta_{x\otimes\iota_1(-1,1),y\otimes\iota_1(-1,1)}$ in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$. In particular $\phi(\iota_1(1,-1)) = \theta_{e,e}$.*

Proof. For $n,m\in D_1$ and $x,y\in D_1$,

$$\langle y\otimes\iota_1(-1,1), n\otimes\iota_1(m)\rangle = \langle\iota_1(-1,1), \iota_1\alpha(y^*n)\iota_1(m)\rangle =$$

$$\iota_1((-1,1)\alpha(y^*n)m) = \iota_1(\alpha(y^*n)m)$$

so

$$\theta_{x\otimes\iota_1(-1,1),y\otimes\iota_1(-1,1)}(n\otimes\iota_1(m)) = (x\otimes\iota_1(-1,1))\iota_1(\alpha(y^*n)m) =$$

$$x\otimes\iota_1((-1,1)\alpha(y^*n)m) = x\otimes\iota_1(\alpha(y^*n)m).$$

The previous Lemma shows that this is equal to

$$x(1,-1)y^*n \otimes \iota_1(m) = \phi(\iota_1(x(1,-1)y^*))(n \otimes \iota_1(m))$$

in X/N. Setting $x = y = (1,-1)$ the last statement follows. ■

Thus the projection $\iota_1(1,-1)$ of $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ is in the ideal $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})) = J(\mathcal{E})$. Define K to be the ideal $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))\iota_1(1,-1)C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ generated by $\iota_1(1,-1)$. This is an ideal contained in the ideal $J(\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 5.3 *Let $(T,\pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ be a representation of the C*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ in a C*-algebra C which is coisometric on the ideal K generated by $\iota_1(1,-1)$. Then the partial isometry $T(e)$ has final projection $\pi(\iota_1(1,-1))$.*

Proof. Since $\iota_1(1,-1) \in K$ the coisometric hypothesis implies $\pi(\iota_1(1,-1)) = \Psi_T(\phi(\iota_1(1,-1)))$. Lemma 5.2 shows the latter is $\Psi_T(\theta_{e,e}) = T(e)T(e)^*$. ■

We have noted that if $(T,\pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ is a representation of the C*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ then $T(e)$ is a partial isometry with initial projection $T^*(e)T(e) = \pi(\iota_1(-1,1))$. The last Proposition shows that if the representation is coisometric on the ideal K then the final projection of $T(e)$ is $\pi(\iota_1(1,-1))$ in $\pi(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))$. Since the semigroup D_1 is generated (by definition) by the idempotent $(1,-1)$ and the map α, which is implemented on the image of D_1 in $\pi(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))$ by the partial isometry $T(e)$, it follows that $\pi(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))$ is therefore contained in the C*-algebra generated by $T(e)$. Combining this with the observations after Lemma 5.1 yields the following.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $(T,\pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ be a representation of the C*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ in a C*-algebra C which is coisometric on the ideal K of $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$. Then the C*-algebra generated by the partial isometry $T(e)$ is equal to the C*-algebra generated by $T(\mathcal{E}) \cup \pi(C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M})))$. In particular the universal C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}(K,\mathcal{E})$ is generated by the partial isometry $T_{\mathcal{E}}(e)$, where $(T_{\mathcal{E}},\pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is the universal representation of $\mathcal{E}$ coisometric on K.*

Corollary 5.5 *There is a surjective *-homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{O}(K,\mathcal{E})$ defined on the universal C*-algebra $\mathcal{P}$ generated by a partial isometry v which maps v to $T_{\mathcal{E}}(e)$.*

Lemma 5.6 *If $(T,\pi) : \mathcal{E} \to C$ is a representation of a C*-correspondence ${}_B\mathcal{E}_B$ in a C*-algebra C and $\Psi_T(\phi(b)) = \pi(b)$ for an element $b \in J(\mathcal{E})$, then $\Psi_T(\phi(a)) = \pi(a)$ for all a in the closed two-sided ideal BbB of B generated by b.*

Proof. For $c,d \in B$ we have cbd is in the ideal $J(\mathcal{E}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}))$, and $\Psi_T(\phi(cbd)) = \Psi_T(\phi(c)\phi(b)\phi(d)) = \pi(c)\Psi_T(\phi(b))\pi(d)$ (by Prop. 1.2 [B] for example), which is $\pi(c)\pi(b)\pi(d)$. ■

We are interested in constructing a particular representation (S,π_γ) of the C*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ in the C*-algebra $\mathcal{P}$, the universal

C*-algebra generated by a partial isometry v. First, restrict the *-homomorphism $\sigma : A \to \mathcal{P}$ of the *-semigroup A (Theorem 1.3) to the *-subsemigroup D_1 of A to obtain a *-homomorphism $\lambda : D_1 \to \mathcal{P}$. The following Proposition follows from defining the complete order on D_1 by restricting the complete order on A.

Proposition 5.7 *The *-homomorphism* $\lambda : D_1 \to \mathcal{P}$ *is a complete order representation of the completely ordered *-semigroup* $(D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})$ *in the C*-algebra* $\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. For $n \in D_1$ write $n = w_\pm^*(\pm 1, \mp 1)w_\pm$ as in the description of the partial order on D_1; this is a product taking place in the semigroup A. We have that $\lambda(n) = \sigma(w_\pm)^*\sigma(\pm 1, \mp 1)\sigma(w_\pm)$, a decomposition taking place in the C*-algebra $\mathcal{P}$. The latter product is less than or equal to the selfadjoint element $\sigma(w_\pm)^*\sigma(w_\pm) = \sigma(w_\pm^* w_\pm) = \lambda(w_\pm^* w_\pm)$ in $\mathcal{P}$. Since the partial order on D_1^{sa} is generated by these relations, λ is an order representation. The complete order property for this map follows similarly. ■

By the universal property there is a *-homomorphism $\pi_\lambda : C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to \mathcal{P}$ with $\pi_\lambda \circ \iota_1 = \lambda$. For $n, m \in D_1$ define S on the elements $n \otimes \iota_1(m)$ of X by $S(n \otimes \iota_1(m)) = \lambda(n)v\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(m)) = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1(n))v\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(m))$ and extend linearly to the subspace $\mathbb{C}[D_1] \otimes_{alg} \iota_1(\mathbb{C}[D_1])$ of X. For $x = s \otimes \iota_1(c)$ and $y = t \otimes \iota_1(d)$ with $c, d, s, t \in D_1$ compute $\pi_\lambda(\langle x, y\rangle_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}) = \pi_\lambda \langle s \otimes \iota_1(c), t \otimes \iota_1(d)\rangle = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1(c)^*\iota_1\alpha(s^*t)\iota_1(d))$ while $S(x)^*S(y) = (\pi_\lambda\iota_1(s)v\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(c)))^*\pi_\lambda\iota_1(t)v\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(d)) = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1(c))^*(v^*\pi_\lambda\iota_1(s^*t)v)\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(d)) = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1(c))^*\pi_\lambda(\iota_1\alpha(s^*t))\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(d))$. Therefore $\|S(x)\|^2 = \|S(x)^*S(x)\| = \left\|\pi_\lambda(\langle x, x\rangle_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))})\right\| \leq \|x\|^2$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}[D_1]\otimes_{alg} \iota_1(\mathbb{C}[D_1])$, and S becomes a well defined linear map on the quotient of this subspace of X by N. Since S is bounded it may be extended by continuity to a linear map, also denoted by S, of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{P}$. We claim that (S, π_λ) is a correspondence representation. For $k, m, n \in D_1$, and $b = \iota_1(k) \in C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, we have $S(\phi(b)(m \otimes \iota_1(n))) = S(l(k)(m \otimes \iota_1(n)))$ by Theorem 4.20. This equals $S(km \otimes \iota_1(n)) = \pi_\lambda\iota_1(km)v\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(n))$ while $\pi_\lambda(b)S(m \otimes \iota_1(n)) = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1\,(k))S(m\otimes\iota_1(n)) = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1\,(k))\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(m))v\pi_\lambda\iota_1(n)$, and therefore $S(\phi(b)(m\otimes \iota_1(n))) = \pi_\lambda(b)S(m \otimes \sigma(n))$. Check that $S((m \otimes \iota_1(n))(b)) = S(m \otimes \iota_1(nk)) = \pi_\lambda\iota_1(m)v\pi_\lambda\iota_1(n)\pi_\lambda\iota_1(k) = S(m\otimes\iota_1(n))\pi_\lambda(b)$. Therefore $S(\phi(b)(x)) = \pi_\lambda(b)S(x)$ and $S(xb) = S\,(x)\,\pi_\lambda(b)$ for $b \in C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, $x \in \mathcal{E}$, and so (S, π_λ) is a representation of the correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ in the C*-algebra $\mathcal{P}$.

Proposition 5.8 *There is a representation* $(S, \pi_\lambda) : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{P}$ *of the C*-correspondence* ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ *which is coisometric on the ideal* K *of* $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ *generated by* $\iota_1(1, -1)$.

Proof. We have just shown that (S, π_λ) is a representation of the C*-correspondence ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$. To show the representation is coisometric on K it is enough to show $\Psi_S(\phi(\iota_1(1, -1))) = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1(1, -1))$ by Lemma 5.6. However, $\pi_\lambda(\iota_1(1, -1)) = \lambda((1, -1)) = \sigma((1, -1)) = vv^*$. We compute $S(e) = S((1, -1) \otimes \iota_1(-1, 1)) = \lambda(1, -1)v\pi_\lambda\iota_1(-1, 1) = \lambda(1, -1)v\lambda(-1, 1) =$

$vv^*vv^*v = v$. By Lemma 5.2 $\phi(\iota_1(1,-1)) = \theta_{e,e}$, so $\Psi_S(\phi(\iota_1(1,-1))) = \Psi_S(\theta_{e,e})$ $= S(e)S(e)^* = vv^* = \pi_\lambda(\iota_1(1,-1))$. ■

The universal property for relative Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebras therefore yields a *-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{O}(K, \mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{P}$ with $(S, \pi_\lambda) = \rho \circ (T_\mathcal{E}, \pi_\mathcal{E})$ where $(T_\mathcal{E}, \pi_\mathcal{E})$ is the canonical representation of ${}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}\mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ to $\mathcal{O}(K, \mathcal{E})$, the universal Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra generated by $T_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}) \cup \pi_\mathcal{E}(C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})))$. Since $v = S(e) = \rho \circ T_\mathcal{E}(e)$, the image of ρ contains a generator v of $\mathcal{P}$, hence ρ is surjective.

Theorem 5.9 *The *-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{O}(K, \mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{P}$ is a *-isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $\rho(\psi(v)) = \rho(T_\mathcal{E}(e)) = v$ by Corollary 5.5 the composition $\rho \circ \psi$ is the identity map on $\mathcal{P}$, so the surjection ψ is an injection. ■

Remark 5.10 *If we consider quotient semigroups of D_0, and D_1 many aspects of this analysis still hold. For example, let S_1 be the unital (unit u) two element *-semigroup $\{u, s\}$ with s also a selfadjoint idempotent. View this as ordered, with the trivial partial order $a \preceq a$ iff $a = a$. With S_0 the *-subsemigroup generated by u, then $C^*((S_1, \preceq)) = C^*(S_1)$ is isomorphic to the unital C*-algebra $\mathbb{C}^2$, $C^*((S_0, \preceq)) \cong \mathbb{C}$. The C*-correspondence constructed above is the C*-algebra $\mathbb{C}^2$ viewed as a right Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}_{C^*(S_1)} = C^*(S_1)_{C^*(S_1)}$ over itself, with the trivial left action, so the *-homomorphism $\phi : C^*(S_1) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{C^*(S_1)}) \cong C^*(S_1)$ satisfies $\phi(a)$ is the unit for all $a \in C^*(S_1)$. Setting K to be the ideal, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, generated by s in $C^*(S_1)$ it follows that the universal Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}(K, \mathcal{E})$ is the Toeplitz C*-algebra generated by an isometry.*

Although not necessary for the development up to this point, it is the case (Corollary 5.12) that the ideal K of $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ generated by the projection $\iota_1(1,-1)$ is actually contained in the ideal $J_\mathcal{E} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})) \cap (\ker \phi)^\perp$. First recall the Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_0,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ with left action $\phi_0 : C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ described in Remark 4.27. In the following $\pi_\omega : C^*((D_0, \preceq, \mathcal{M})) \to C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$ is the *-homomorphism obtained by applying the universal property to the complete order representation (Proposition 4.14) $\iota_1 \circ \omega : D_0 \to C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$.

Proposition 5.11 *With $a \in C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, and $e = (1,-1) \otimes \iota_0(-1,1)$ in $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_{C^*((D_0,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))}$ we have $\pi_\omega(\langle e, \phi_0(a)e\rangle) = \iota_1(-1,1)a\iota_1(-1,1)$.*

Proof. For $s \in D_1$ we have $\langle e, \phi_0(\iota_1(s))e\rangle = \langle e, s(1,-1) \otimes \iota_0(-1,1)\rangle = \iota_0((-1,1)\alpha(s(1,-1))(-1,1))$, which by Remark 2.9 is equal to $\iota_0(\alpha(s))$. Applying π_ω and using $\pi_\omega \circ \iota_0 = \iota_1 \circ \omega$ we obtain $\iota_1(\omega(\alpha(s))) = \iota_1((-1,1)s(-1,1))$ by Proposition 2.11. Linearity implies that the equality holds for a in the dense *-subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[\iota_1(D_1)]$ of $C^*((D_1, \preceq, \mathcal{M}))$, and since both sides are continuous the result follows. ■

The proof of the following result follows using Corollary 4.26 via Remark 4.27.

Corollary 5.12 *The ideal K of $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ generated by $\iota_1(1,-1)$ is contained in the ideal $J_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show $\iota_1(1,-1)\in(\ker\phi)^{\perp}$, so to show $a\iota_1(1,-1)=0$ whenever $\phi(a)=0$ for $a\in C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$. If $\phi(a)=0$ then so is $\phi(a^*a)$ and (Remark 4.27) therefore also $\phi_0(a^*a)$. The previous proposition shows $\iota_1(-1,1)a^*a\iota_1(-1,1)$, and therefore $a\iota_1(-1,1)$, is zero in $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$. ■

Observe that we did not have recourse to the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for Cuntz-Pimsner algebras to show that ρ is an isomorphism. However, along with ρ being an isomorphism, Corollary 5.12 now enables the use of this theorem in establishing the next Corollaries. The theorem ([K]) states that if $(T,\pi):\mathcal{E}\to B$ is a representation of a correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ in a C*-algebra B which is coisometric on $J_{\mathcal{E}}$ then the induced *-homomorphism $\rho:\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}\to C^*(T,\pi)$ is an isomorphism if and only if π is injective and (T,π) admits a gauge action. Recall that a representation $(T,\pi):\mathcal{E}\to B$ of a correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ over a C*-algebra A in a C*-algebra B is said to admit a gauge action γ if $\gamma:\mathbb{T}\to\operatorname{Aut}C^*(T,\pi)$ is a homomorphism with $\gamma_t(T(e))=tT(e)$ for all $e\in\mathcal{E}$ and $\gamma_t(\pi(a))=\pi(a)$ for all $a\in A$, $(t\in\mathbb{T})$. Since the *-homomorphism Ψ_T is defined by mapping $\theta_{x,y}\to T(x)T^*(y)\in C^*(T,\pi)$ we have that $\gamma_t(\Psi_T(\theta))=\Psi_T(\theta)$ for $\theta\in\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ and $t\in\mathbb{T}$. The universal representation $(T_{\mathcal{E}},\pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ which is coisometric on an ideal K contained in $J(\mathcal{E})$ admits a gauge action, called the canonical gauge action, on $\mathcal{O}(K,\mathcal{E})$: this follows from the universal property of $\mathcal{O}(K,\mathcal{E})$. For our present context we know that the representation (S,π_λ) is coisometric on the ideal K generated by $\iota_1(1,-1)$, but not necessarily on the ideal $J_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Corollary 5.13 *The *-representation $\pi_\lambda: C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))\to\mathcal{P}$ is injective, so $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ is isomorphic to its image in $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. The guage-invariant uniqueness theorem ([K]) ensures that the universal $J_{\mathcal{E}}$ covariant representation $(T,\pi):\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{O}(J_{\mathcal{E}},\mathcal{E})=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is injective, i.e., π is injective. Since $K\subseteq J_{\mathcal{E}}$ by the previous corollary, this representation is also K covariant, so the universal property for $\mathcal{O}(K,\mathcal{E})$ implies that there is $\xi:\mathcal{O}(K,\mathcal{E})\to\mathcal{O}(J_{\mathcal{E}},\mathcal{E})$ a (surjective) *-homomorphism with $\xi\circ(T_{\mathcal{E}},\pi_{\mathcal{E}})=(T,\pi)$. In particular $\xi\circ\pi_{\mathcal{E}}=\pi$, and since π is injective, so is $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$. Now recall $\rho\circ\pi_{\mathcal{E}}=\pi_\lambda$ where ρ is an isomorphism. ■

Therefore, since $\mathcal{P}$ is residually finite dimensional ([BN]), so is $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ (cf., [BO]). Since $\mathcal{P}$ is not exact ([BN]), and is a quotient of the Toeplitz-Pimsner algebra of the correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ over $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$, which is exact if and only if $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ is ([DS], [BO]), the following holds.

Corollary 5.14 *The non-isomorphic universal C*-algebras $C^*(D_1)$, $C^*((D_1,\preceq))$, $C^*((D_1,\preceq,\mathcal{M}))$ are not exact.*

References

[A] W. Arveson, Non commutative dynamics and E-semigroups, Springer Monographs in Math., Springer Verlag, 2003.

[BD] B. Barnes, J. Duncan, The Banach Algebra $l^1(S)$, J. Funct. Anal. 18 (1975), 96 – 113.

[B] B. Brenken, Topological Quivers as Multiplicity Free Relations, Math. Scand. 106 (2010), 217 - 242.

[BN] B. Brenken, Z. Niu, The C*-algebra of a partial isometry, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 199-206.

[BO] N. Brown, N. Ozawa, C*-algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations, Grad. Stud. Math.88, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 2008.

[CDP] J. B. Conway, J. Duncan, A. L. T. Paterson, Monogenic inverse semigroups and their C*-algebras, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 98 (1984), 13-24.

[DLS] H. G. Dales, A. T. M. Lau, D. Srauss, Banach Algebras on Semigroups and on Their Compactifications, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 966 (2010), 1 - 165.

[DP] J. Duncan, A. L. T. Paterson, C*-algebras of Inverse Semigroups, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., 28 (1985), 41 - 58.

[DS] K. J. Dykema, D. Shlyaktenko, Exactness of Cuntz-Pimsner C*-algebras, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 44 (2001), 424 - 444.

[F] J. M. G. Fell, R. S. Doran, Representations of *-algebras, locally compact groups. and Banach *-algebraic bundles. Vol. I and II, volumes 125 and 126 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

[FMR] N. Fowler, P. Muhly, I. Raeburn, Representations of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 569-605.

[H] J. M. Howie, An Introduction To Semigroup Theory, Academic Press, 1976

[K] T. Katsura, On C*-algebras associated with C*-correspondences, J. Funct. Anal., 217 (2004), no. 2, 366-401.

[L] C. Lance, Hilbert C*-modules: a tool kit for operator algebraists, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[Li] Xin Li, Semigroup C*-algebras and Amenability of Semigroups, preprint.

[P] V. Paulsen, Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 78, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[Pm] M. V. Pimsner, A class of C*-algebras generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$, Fields Inst. Commun. **12** (1997), 189-212, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 1997.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada T2N 1N4

E-mail address: bbrenken@ucalgary.ca